

**Romanian Academy**  
**School of Advanced Studies of The Romanian Academy (SCOSAAR)**  
**Doctoral School of Filology and Cultural Studies**  
**“Constantin Brăiloiu” Institute of Ethnography and Folklore**

**COURSE SYLLABUS**

**Course title:** Academic Ethics and Integrity  
**Lecturer:** conf. univ. dr. Ioana Fruntelată  
**Year of study:** I

| Number of teaching hours / week                 | Evaluation methods | ECTS |
|---|--------------------|------|
| Lecture (accompanied by discussions) /2h / week | Oral examination   | 10   |

**A. GOAL AND OBJECTIVES**

|                       |  |
|-----------------------|--|
| <b>A.1 Goal</b>       | The course is intended to provide doctoral students with fundamental notions and general knowledge specific to academics ethics and integrity, which is necessary for the correct and responsible elaboration of the doctoral thesis/ other scientific works.                                |
| <b>A.2 Objectives</b> | Identify and describe issues with potential implications for academic ethics and scientific research in the use of and sources and bibliography<br>To acquire the knowledge and skills, which are necessary to observe ethical conduct in the process of writing and editing the PhD thesis. |

**B. CONDITIONS for running the course**

|  |  |
|--|--|
|  | Internet access<br>Workstation (desktop, laptop and/ or other devices)<br>E-mail address |
|--|--|

**C. ACQUIRED SKILLS**

|                                |  |
|--------------------------------|--|
| <b>C.1 Professional skills</b> | Acquiring a set of general and special knowledge characteristic of academic ethics and integrity.<br>Learning and observe specific concepts of academic ethics and integrity. Training and development of the ability to use research methodology (primary sources / general and special bibliography / writing scientific papers) in accordance with the rules of academic ethic. |
| <b>C.2 Oblique skills</b>      | Training and development of the ability to critically use information sources and cultural studies research methodology.<br>Training and development of the ability to use information and communication technology in a correct way.  |

#### D. CONTENT

| <b>Course topics</b>  | <b>Teaching methods</b> | <b>Observations</b> |
|---|-------------------------|---------------------|
| <b>1.</b> Concepts of ethics, integrity and academic deontology. Cronology/ History / Schools of thought /Evolutions  | Lecture<br>Discussions  | Two hours a week    |
| <b>2.</b> Intellectual property and copyright – Historical development.<br>Infringement of intellectual property rights – legal aspects (legislation, rights related to copyright) / social aspects (professional promotions; prestige and social promotion). | Lecture<br>Discussions  | Two hours a week    |
| <b>3.</b> Ethics and academic integrity in filology and cultural studies research.  | Lecture<br>Discussions  | Two hours a week    |
| <b>4.</b> Application of the rules of ethics and academic integrity in the elaboration of the PhD thesis.   | Lecture<br>Discussions  | Two hours a week    |
| <b>5.</b> Plagiarism: types of -, legislation, sanctions. Self-plagiarism.  | Lecture<br>Discussions  | Two hours a week    |
| <b>6.</b> Computer applications for plagiarism detection.   | Lecture<br>Discussions  | Two hours a week    |

## E. BRIEF DESCRIPTION

The course is intended to provide doctoral students with general and specific knowledge about the issue of academic ethics of scientific research. The course deals with conceptual aspects, but also with legal and institutional aspects; also it focuses on specific aspects of academic ethics and deontology in cultural research. The topics covered are complemented and deepened by debates on concrete issues and case studies specific to historical research (sources, bibliography and working tools available online, correct definition and avoidance of self-plagiarism / publication of partial research results and their inclusion/exploitation in the final research result, and so on.).

## F. EVALUATION

| Activity | F.1 Evaluation criteria  | F.2 Evaluation methods  | F.3 Per cent of final grade |
|----------|--|---|-----------------------------|
| Course   | Active participation in debates.   | Oral presentation of a case study.                                | 50%                         |
|          | Theoretical and methodological coherence of interventions in debates.<br>Quality of oral expression.<br>Quality of the sources and bibliography. | Oral examination (general knowledges, terminology, bibliography). | 50%                         |

The results of the subject evaluation are expressed by the following qualifications: "Very good"; "Good"; "Satisfactorily"; "Unsatisfactory". The grades "Very good", "Good" and "Satisfactory" allow the doctoral student to obtain the credits.

## G. BIBLIOGRAPHY

\*\*\* *Regulamentul de organizare și funcționare a Comisiei de Etică a SCOSAAR* - [REGULAMENT COMISIA DE ETICA\\_2023.pdf](#)

Avram Andrei, Berlic Cătălin, Murgescu Bogdan, Murgescu Mirela-Luminița, Popescu Marian, Rughiniș Cosima, Sandu Dumitru, Socaciu Emanuel, Șercan Emilia, Ștefănescu Bogdan, Tănăsescu Simina-Elena, Voinea Sanda, *Deontologie academică. Curriculum-cadru*, Editura Universității din București, 2018, <https://eticasiintegritate.unibuc.ro/wp-content/uploads/2018/10/Deontologie-Academica-Curriculum-cadru.pdf>

Gibea Toni, Vică Constantin, Mihailov Emilian, Socaciu Emanuel, Mureșan Valentin, *Etică și integritate academică. Instrumente suplimentare*, Editura Universității din București, 2018, <https://eticasiintegritate.unibuc.ro/wp-content/uploads/2018/11/Etica-si-integritate-academica.pdf>

Course lecturer  
Signature

Director of the Doctoral School  
Signature

Date: 25.01.2024

**Romanian Academy**  
**School of Advanced Studies of The Romanian Academy (SCOSAAR)**  
**Doctoral School of Filology and Cultural Studies**  
**“Constantin Brăiloiu” Institute of Ethnography and Folklore**

**Course syllabus**

**Course title:**

**Use of information obtained from field collections and from bibliographic sources**

**Lecturer:** CS2 dr. Iulia Wisosenschi

**Year of study:** I

| Number of teaching hours / week                | Assessment methods | ECTS |
|--|--------------------|------|
| Lecture (accompanied by discussion) / 2h /week | Oral examination   | 10   |

**A. PURPOSE AND OBJECTIVES**

|                           |  |
|---------------------------|--|
| <b>A.1 Main objective</b> | To equip the doctoral student with the methods and tools necessary for the elaboration and writing of the doctoral thesis, in all stages and aspects of this process and in accordance with the scientific requirements specific to the humanities in general and ethnological disciplines in particular.  |
| <b>A.2 Objectives</b>     | In relation to the title of the doctoral thesis, the following methodological aspects will be pursued, in principle:<br><br>Awareness of the issues reflected by the title; identifying big themes.<br>Taking into account these guidelines, the judicious constitution of the structure of the work, with all the related chapters and subchapters.<br>Ensuring the unity and consistency of the work.<br>Identifying the types of sources that will be used in the elaboration of the doctoral thesis; ordering and ranking of sources; Compilation of a bibliography of the work.<br>Satisfactory appropriation of the system of references, citations.<br>Constitution of corpora of texts.<br>Establishment of a glossary of terms (if applicable). |

**B. COURSE CONDITIONS**

|  |   |
|--|---|
|  | Internet access<br>Workstation (desktop, laptop and /or other devices)<br>Email address |
|--|---|

**C. ACQUIRED SKILLS**

|                                |  |
|--------------------------------|--|
| <b>C.1 Professional skills</b> | Training and development of the ability to critically use sources of information and methodology of cultural studies research .<br>The ability to apply theorizing and data provided by sources when developing the paper.<br>Augmentation of analysis and synthesis capabilities.   |
| <b>C.2 Oblique skills</b>      | Harmonization of individual work with collective/team work.<br>Enrollment in the scientific circuit and (gradual) immersion in the scientific community.<br>Training and developing the ability to use information and communication technology in an effective and appropriate way. |

**D. CONTENT**

| <b>Course topics</b>  | <b>Teaching methods</b> | <b>Observations</b> |
|---|-------------------------|---------------------|
| 1.Introduction to the methodology of ethnological disciplines.  | Lecture<br>Discussion   | Two hours a week    |
| 2.Types of sources and the compilation of a bibliography of the work.<br>Hierarchy and ordering of sources.   | Lecture<br>Discussion   | Two hours a week    |
| 3.Research and field collections: their importance in the studies proper to ethnological disciplines.<br>Prospecting.<br>Harmonization of field data with those from bibliographic sources. | Lecture<br>Discussion   | Two hours a week    |
| 4.References and citations.<br>Suitability of critical devices for elaboration of works in profile.   | Lecture<br>Discussion   | Two hours a week    |
| 5.Terminolgia. Specialty terms.   | Lecture<br>Discussion   | Two hours a week    |
| 6.Interpretation of data obtained from different sources.<br>Feasible models of interpretation.<br>Possible novelty elements of the future thesis   | Lecture<br>Discussion   | Two hours a week    |

## E. SHORT DESCRIPTION

The course *Using the information obtained from field collections and from bibliographic sources* aims to support doctoral students, in their effort to elaborate and write the doctoral thesis, according to the requirements and established academic standards.

In this sense, the emphasis of the doctoral student's training refers to all important stages of research, elaboration and writing of the doctoral thesis, with an awareness of what defines a doctoral thesis: the high scientific level, new and novel contributions to fields, the act of launching into the scientific circuit.

## F. EVALUATION

The results of the discipline evaluation are expressed by the following grades: "Very good"; "Okay"; "Satisfactory"; "Unsatisfactory."  
"Very good", "Good" and "Satisfactory" ratings are eligible for promotion credits.

| Activity | F.1 Assessment criteria  | F.2 Assessment methods   | F.3 % of endscore |
|----------|--|--|-------------------|
| Course   | Active participation in debates.<br>Theoretical and methodological coherence of interventions in debates.<br>Quality of oral expression.<br>Quality of sources and bibliography. | Oral presentation of a case study.                               | 5<br>0<br>%       |
|          |  | Oral examination (general knowledge, terminology, bibliography). | 5<br>0<br>%       |

## G. BIBLIOGRAPHY

\*\*\* *Etnologie românească. Folcloristică și etnomuzicologie*. I. Coordonatori: Sabina Ispas și Nicoleta Coatu, Academia Română, Institutul de Etnografie și Folclor „C. Brăiloiu”, București, Editura Academiei Române, 2006.

\*\*\* *Etnologie românească. Folcloristică și etnomuzicologie*. II. *Metodologie. Arhive. Instrumente de lucru*. Partea 1. Coordonatori: Sabina Ispas și Nicoleta Coatu, Institutul de Etnografie și Folclor „C. Brăiloiu”, București, Editura Academiei Române, 2007.

\*\*\* *Etnologie românească. Folcloristică și etnomuzicologie*. II. *Metodologie. Arhive. Instrumente de lucru*. Partea a 2-a. Coordonatori: Sabina Ispas și Nicoleta Coatu, Institutul de Etnografie și Folclor „C. Brăiloiu”, București, Editura Academiei Române, 2010.

Bîrlea, Ovidiu, *Metoda de cercetare a folclorului*, București, Editura pentru Literatură, 1969.

Ispas, Sabina, *Cultură orală și informație transculturală*, București, Editura Academiei Române, 2003.

Pop, Mihai, Ruxăndoiu, Pavel, *Folclor literar românesc*, București, Editura Didactică și Pedagogică, 1990.

Lecturer  
Signature

Director of the Doctoral School  
Signature

Date: 25.01.2024