

Binding numbers for fractional (a, b, k) -critical covered graphsSizhong ZHOU¹, Hongxia LIU², Yang XU³¹ School of Science, Jiangsu University of Science and Technology,
Mengxi Road 2, Zhenjiang, Jiangsu 212003, China² School of Mathematics and Informational Science, Yantai University, Yantai, Shandong 264005, China³ Department of Mathematics, Qingdao Agricultural University, Qingdao, Shandong 266109, China

Corresponding author: Sizhong Zhou, E-mail: zsz_cumt@163.com

Abstract: A graph G is said to be fractional (a, b, k) -critical covered if after deleting any k vertices of G , the remaining graph of G is fractional $[a, b]$ -covered. In this article, we gain a binding number condition for a graph to be fractional (a, b, k) -critical covered, which is an improvement and extension of Yuan and Hao's previous result [Y. Yuan and R. Hao, Neighborhood union conditions for fractional $[a, b]$ -covered graphs, Bull. Malays. Math. Sci. Soc., 43, pp. 157167, 2020, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40840-018-0669-y>].

Key words: graph; binding number; fractional $[a, b]$ -factor; fractional $[a, b]$ -covered graph; fractional (a, b, k) -critical covered graph.

1. INTRODUCTION

We discussed only finite, undirected and simple graphs. For a graph G , the vertex set of G is denoted by $V(G)$, and the edge set of G is denoted by $E(G)$. For a vertex v of G , we use $d_G(v)$ for the degree of v in G , and $N_G(v)$ for the set of vertices adjacent to v in G . Let $\delta(G) = \min\{d_G(v) : v \in V(G)\}$. Let X be a vertex subset of G . We write $G[X]$ for the subgraph of G induced by X , and $G - X = G[V(G) \setminus X]$. A subset $X \subseteq V(G)$ is called independent if $G[X]$ does not possess edges. Let $N_G(X) = \bigcup_{v \in X} N_G(v)$. The binding number of G is defined by

$$\text{bind}(G) = \min \left\{ \frac{|N_G(X)|}{|X|} : \emptyset \neq X \subseteq V(G), N_G(X) \neq V(G) \right\}.$$

Let a and b be two integers with $0 \leq a \leq b$. Then an $[a, b]$ -factor of G is a spanning subgraph F of G satisfying $a \leq d_F(x) \leq b$ for any $x \in V(G)$. An r -factor is an $[r, r]$ -factor. A fractional $[a, b]$ -factor of G is a function h from $E(G)$ to $[0, 1]$ such that $a \leq d_G^h(v) \leq b$ for each $v \in V(G)$, where $d_G^h(v) = \sum_{e \in E(v)} h(e)$ and

$E(v)$ is the set of edges incident with v . A fractional r -factor is a fractional $[r, r]$ -factor. A graph G is said to be fractional $[a, b]$ -covered if for any $e \in E(G)$, G possesses a fractional $[a, b]$ -factor h such that $h(e) = 1$. A fractional $[a, b]$ -covered graph is called a fractional r -covered graph when $a = b = r$. For a nonnegative integer k , a graph G is said to be fractional (a, b, k) -critical covered if after deleting any k vertices, the obtained graph is fractional $[a, b]$ -covered. A fractional (r, k) -critical covered graph is a fractional (r, r, k) -critical covered graph.

There are rich results on the problems of factors and fractional factors in graphs [1–22]. Furthermore, a lot of results on the relationship between binding number and factors as well as fractional factors in graphs are derived. For example, Katerinis and Woodall [23] pointed out a binding number condition for the existence of r -factors in graphs; Kano and Tokushige [24] demonstrated a result on the relationship between the binding number and the existence of f -factors in graphs; Zhou and Sun [25, 26] derived some binding number conditions for graphs to possess $[1, 2]$ -factors with given properties; Chen [27] put forward a binding number condition for

$[a, b]$ -factor; Zhou, Xu and Duan [28] studied the relationship between the binding number and the existence of fractional r -factors in graphs; Yuan and Hao [29] gained a binding number condition for graphs being fractional r -covered graphs.

The following results on fractional r -factors and fractional r -covered graphs depending on binding numbers are known.

THEOREM 1 [28]. *Let $r \geq 2$ be an integer, and let G be a graph of order n such that $n \geq 4r - 6$. Then (1) G possesses a fractional r -factor if rn is even and $\text{bind}(G) > \frac{(2r-1)(n-1)}{r(n-2)+3}$; (2) G possesses a fractional r -factor if rn is odd and $\text{bind}(G) > \frac{(2r-1)(n-1)}{r(n-2)+2}$.*

THEOREM 2 [29]. *Let r be an integer with $r \geq 2$, and let G be a graph of order n satisfying $\delta(G) \geq r + 1$ and $n > 4r + 1$. Then G is fractional r -covered if $\text{bind}(G) > \frac{(2r-1)(n-1)}{r(n-2)}$.*

In this article, we generalise Theorems 1 and 2 to fractional (a, b, k) -critical covered graphs, and point out the relationship between binding numbers and fractional (a, b, k) -critical covered graphs. Furthermore, our main result is claimed as follows.

THEOREM 3. *Let a, b and k be nonnegative integers with $a \geq 1$ and $b \geq \max\{a, 2\}$, and let G be a graph of order n with $n \geq \frac{(a+b-1)(a+b-2)+1}{b} + \frac{bk}{b-1}$. Then G is fractional (a, b, k) -critical covered if $\text{bind}(G) > \frac{(a+b-1)(n-1)}{bn-a-b-bk}$.*

We easily gain the following result when $k = 0$ in Theorem 3.

COROLLARY 1. *Let a, b be integers with $a \geq 1$ and $b \geq \max\{a, 2\}$, and let G be a graph of order n with $n \geq \frac{(a+b-1)(a+b-2)+1}{b}$. Then G is fractional $[a, b]$ -covered if $\text{bind}(G) > \frac{(a+b-1)(n-1)}{bn-a-b}$.*

If $a = b = r$ in Corollary 1, then we possess the following result.

COROLLARY 2. *Let r be an integer with $r \geq 2$, and let G be a graph of order n with $n \geq 4r - 6 + \frac{3}{r}$. Then G is fractional r -covered if $\text{bind}(G) > \frac{(2r-1)(n-1)}{rn-2r}$.*

We easily see that the result of Corollary 2 is stronger than one of Theorem 2. Hence, our main result (Theorem 3) is an improvement and generalization of Yuan and Hao's result (Theorem 2). If $a = b = r$ in Theorem 3, then we deduce the following corollary.

COROLLARY 3. *Let r and k be nonnegative integers with $r \geq 2$, and let G be a graph of order n with $n \geq \frac{(2r-1)(2r-2)+1}{r} + \frac{rk}{r-1}$. Then G is fractional (r, k) -critical covered if $\text{bind}(G) > \frac{(2r-1)(n-1)}{rn-2r-rk}$.*

2. THE PROOF OF THEOREM 3

Li, Yan and Zhang [30] acquired a criterion for graphs being fractional $[a, b]$ -covered, which plays an important role in the proof of Theorem 3.

LEMMA 1 [30]. *Let a and b be two integers with $b \geq a \geq 0$. Then a graph G is fractional $[a, b]$ -covered if and only if*

$$\gamma_G(S, T) = b|S| + d_{G-S}(T) - a|T| \geq \varepsilon(S, T)$$

for any subset S of $V(G)$, where $T = \{t : t \in V(G) \setminus S, d_{G-S}(t) \leq a\}$ and $\varepsilon(S, T)$ is defined by

$$\varepsilon(S, T) = \begin{cases} 2, & \text{if } S \text{ is not independent,} \\ 1, & \text{if } S \text{ is independent, and there is an edge joining } V(G) \setminus (S \cup T) \text{ and } S, \text{ or} \\ & \text{there is an edge } e = uv \text{ joining } T \text{ and } S \text{ such that } d_{G-S}(v) = a \text{ for } v \in T, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

LEMMA 2 [31]. Let G be a graph of order n , and let c be a positive real number. If $\text{bind}(G) > c$, then $\delta(G) > n - \frac{n-1}{c}$.

Proof of Theorem 3. Let $Q \subseteq V(G)$ with $|Q| = k$. Setting $H = G - Q$. It suffices to demonstrate that H is fractional $[a, b]$ -covered. Suppose, to the contrary, that H is not fractional $[a, b]$ -covered. Then it follows from Lemma 1 that

$$\gamma_H(S, T) = b|S| + d_{H-S}(T) - a|T| \leq \varepsilon(S, T) - 1 \quad (1)$$

for some subset S of $V(H)$, where $T = \{u : u \in V(H) \setminus S, d_{H-S}(u) \leq a\}$.

CLAIM 1. $\delta(H) \geq a + 1$.

Proof. Using Lemma 2, $n \geq \frac{(a+b-1)(a+b-2)+1}{b} + \frac{bk}{b-1}$ and $\text{bind}(G) > \frac{(a+b-1)(n-1)}{bn-a-b-bk}$, we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} \delta(G) &> n - \frac{n-1}{\frac{(a+b-1)(n-1)}{bn-a-b-bk}} = \frac{(a-1)n + a + b + bk}{a+b-1} \\ &\geq \frac{(a-1)\left(\frac{(a+b-1)(a+b-2)+1}{b} + \frac{bk}{b-1}\right) + a + b + bk}{a+b-1} \\ &\geq \frac{(a-1)\left(\frac{(a+b-1)(a+b-2)+1}{b} + k\right) + a + b + bk}{a+b-1} \\ &= \frac{(a-1)(a+b-2)}{b} + k + \frac{\frac{a-1}{b} + a + b}{a+b-1} \\ &\geq \frac{(a-1)(a+b-2)}{b} + k + \frac{a+b}{a+b-1}. \end{aligned}$$

If $a = 1$, then $\delta(G) > k + \frac{1+b}{b} > 1 + k$. By the integrity of $\delta(G)$, $\delta(G) \geq 2 + k = a + k + 1$.

If $a \geq 2$, then $\delta(G) > \frac{(a-1)(a+b-2)}{b} + k + \frac{a+b}{a+b-1} \geq (a-1) + k + 1 + \frac{1}{a+b-1} > a + k$. Applying the integrity of $\delta(G)$, $\delta(G) \geq a + k + 1$.

Hence, we gain that $\delta(G) \geq a + k + 1$. Combining this with $H = G - Q$ and $|Q| = k$, we possess that $\delta(H) \geq a + 1$. Claim 1 is proved. \square

CLAIM 2. $|S| \geq 2$.

Proof. If $|S| = 0$, then it follows from (1), $\varepsilon(S, T) = 0$ and Claim 1 that $-1 = \varepsilon(S, T) - 1 \geq \gamma_H(S, T) = d_H(T) - a|T| \geq (\delta(H) - a)|T| \geq |T| \geq 0$, a contradiction.

If $|S| = 1$, then by (1), $\varepsilon(S, T) \leq 1$ and Claim 1, we find

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \varepsilon(S, T) - 1 \geq \gamma_H(S, T) = b|S| + d_{H-S}(T) - a|T| \\ &\geq b|S| + d_H(T) - |T| - a|T| \geq b|S| + \delta(H)|T| - |T| - a|T| \\ &= b|S| + (\delta(H) - a - 1)|T| \geq b|S| = b \geq 2, \end{aligned}$$

this is a contradiction. Hence, $|S| \geq 2$. We verify Claim 2. \square

CLAIM 3. $T \neq \emptyset$.

Proof. Assume that $T = \emptyset$. Using (1), $\varepsilon(S, T) \leq 2$ and Claim 2, we deduce $\varepsilon(S, T) - 1 \geq \gamma_H(S, T) = b|S| \geq |S| \geq 2 \geq \varepsilon(S, T)$, which is a contradiction. Claim 3 is justified. \square

Note that $T \neq \emptyset$ by Claim 3. Therefore, we may define $d = \min\{d_{H-S}(t) : t \in T\}$. In light of the definition of T , we derive $0 \leq d \leq a$.

Case 1. $d = 0$.

CLAIM 4. $\frac{bn-a-b-bk}{n-1} \geq 1$.

Proof. Note that $n \geq \frac{(a+b-1)(a+b-2)+1}{b} + \frac{bk}{b-1}$, $a \geq 1$ and $b \geq \max\{a, 2\}$. Thus, we verify that

$$\begin{aligned} & bn - a - b - bk - (n - 1) = (b - 1)n - a - b - bk + 1 \\ & \geq (b - 1) \left(\frac{(a + b - 1)(a + b - 2) + 1}{b} + \frac{bk}{b - 1} \right) - a - b - bk + 1 \\ & = \frac{(b - 1)(a + b - 1)(a + b - 2)}{b} + \frac{b - 1}{b} - a - b + 1 \\ & \geq (b - 1)(a + b - 2) - (a + b - 2) - \frac{1}{b} \\ & = (b - 2)(a + b - 2) - \frac{1}{b} \geq -\frac{1}{b} > -1. \end{aligned}$$

Note that $bn - a - b - bk - (n - 1)$ is an integer. Hence, we gain $bn - a - b - bk - (n - 1) \geq 0$, that is,

$$\frac{bn - a - b - bk}{n - 1} \geq 1.$$

Claim 4 is demonstrated. □

Let $\beta = |\{t \in T : d_{H-S}(t) = 0\}|$. Obviously, $\beta \geq 1$ by $d = 0$. Writing $W = V(H) \setminus S = V(G) \setminus (S \cup Q)$, we deduce that $W \neq \emptyset$ and $|N_G(W)| \leq n - \beta$. Combining these with the definition of $bind(G)$ and $bind(G) > \frac{(a+b-1)(n-1)}{bn-a-b-bk}$, we gain that

$$n - \beta \geq |N_G(W)| \geq bind(G)|W| > \frac{(a + b - 1)(n - 1)}{bn - a - b - bk} (n - k - |S|),$$

namely,

$$|S| > n - k - \frac{(n - \beta)(bn - a - b - bk)}{(a + b - 1)(n - 1)}. \quad (2)$$

Note that $d_{H-S}(T) \geq |T| - \beta$. By (2), $|S| + |T| + |Q| = |S| + |T| + k \leq n$, $\varepsilon(S, T) \leq 2$ and Claim 4, we gain

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_H(S, T) &= b|S| + d_{H-S}(T) - a|T| \geq b|S| + |T| - \beta - a|T| \\ &= b|S| - (a - 1)|T| - \beta \geq b|S| - (a - 1)(n - k - |S|) - \beta \\ &= (a + b - 1)|S| - (a - 1)(n - k) - \beta \\ &> (a + b - 1) \left(n - k - \frac{(n - \beta)(bn - a - b - bk)}{(a + b - 1)(n - 1)} \right) - (a - 1)(n - k) - \beta \\ &\geq (a + b - 1) \left(n - k - \frac{(n - 1)(bn - a - b - bk)}{(a + b - 1)(n - 1)} \right) - (a - 1)(n - k) - 1 \\ &= a + b - 1 \geq b \geq 2 \geq \varepsilon(S, T), \end{aligned}$$

contradicting (1).

Case 2. $1 \leq d \leq a$.

Select $t_1 \in T$ satisfying $d_{H-S}(t_1) = d$. Let $X = (V(H) \setminus S) \setminus N_{H-S}(t_1)$. Visibly, $t_1 \in X$ and $t_1 \notin N_G(X)$. Hence, $X \neq \emptyset$ and $N_G(X) \neq V(G)$. From the definition of $bind(G)$, $bind(G) > \frac{(a+b-1)(n-1)}{bn-a-b-bk}$ and $|V(H)| = |V(G)| - k = n - k$, we derive

$$n - 1 \geq |N_G(X)| \geq bind(G)|X| > \frac{(a + b - 1)(n - 1)}{bn - a - b - bk} \cdot (n - k - |S| - d),$$

namely,

$$|S| > n - k - d - \frac{bn - a - b - bk}{a + b - 1}. \quad (3)$$

It follows from (1), (3), $|S| + |T| + k \leq n$ and $\varepsilon(S, T) \leq 2$ that

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &\geq \varepsilon(S, T) - 1 \geq \gamma_H(S, T) = b|S| + d_{H-S}(T) - a|T| \\ &\geq b|S| + d|T| - a|T| = b|S| - (a - d)|T| \\ &\geq b|S| - (a - d)(n - k - |S|) \\ &= (a + b - d)|S| - (a - d)(n - k) \\ &> (a + b - d)\left(n - k - d - \frac{bn - a - b - bk}{a + b - 1}\right) - (a - d)(n - k), \end{aligned}$$

i.e.,

$$1 > (a + b - d)\left(n - k - d - \frac{bn - a - b - bk}{a + b - 1}\right) - (a - d)(n - k). \quad (4)$$

Subcase 2.1. $d = 1$.

By applying (4), we obtain

$$1 > (a + b - 1)\left(n - k - 1 - \frac{bn - a - b - bk}{a + b - 1}\right) - (a - 1)(n - k) = 1,$$

it is a contradiction.

Subcase 2.2. $2 \leq d \leq a$.

Let $f(d) = (a + b - d)(n - k - d - \frac{bn - a - b - bk}{a + b - 1}) - (a - d)(n - k)$. Then in view of $n \geq \frac{(a+b-1)(a+b-2)+1}{b} + \frac{bk}{b-1}$ and $2 \leq d \leq a$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} f'(d) &= -n + k + d + \frac{bn - a - b - bk}{a + b - 1} - a - b + d + n - k \\ &= \frac{bn - a - b - bk}{a + b - 1} + 2d - a - b \\ &\geq \frac{(a + b - 1)(a + b - 2) + 1 + bk - a - b - bk}{a + b - 1} + 2d - a - b \\ &= 2d - 3 \geq 1 > 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we deduce that $f(d) \geq f(2)$. Using (4) and $n \geq \frac{(a+b-1)(a+b-2)+1}{b} + \frac{bk}{b-1}$, we gain

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &> f(d) \geq f(2) = (a + b - 2)\left(n - k - 2 - \frac{bn - a - b - bk}{a + b - 1}\right) - (a - 2)(n - k) \\ &= \frac{b(n - k) - (a + b - 2)^2}{a + b - 1} \geq \frac{(a + b - 1)(a + b - 2) + 1 - (a + b - 2)^2}{a + b - 1} = 1, \end{aligned}$$

a contradiction. Finishing the proof of Theorem 3. □

3. REMARK

We now claim that $bind(G) > \frac{(a+b-1)(n-1)}{bn-a-b-bk}$ in Theorem 3 is sharp, namely, we construct a graph which shows that we cannot replace $bind(G) > \frac{(a+b-1)(n-1)}{bn-a-b-bk}$ by $bind(G) \geq \frac{(a+b-1)(n-1)}{bn-a-b-bk}$ in Theorem 3.

Let a, b, k be nonnegative integers with $2 \leq a \leq b$ and b being odd, l be any enough large positive integer with $\frac{2(a-1)l+1}{b}$ being an integer, and $G = K_{\frac{2(a-1)l+1}{b}+k} \vee (lK_2)$ be a graph of order n , where \vee means ‘‘join’’. Let

$H = G - Q$, where $Q \subseteq V(K_{\frac{2(a-1)l+1}{b}+k})$ with $|Q| = k$. Then H is not fractional $[a, b]$ -covered by Lemma 1 since for $S = V(K_{\frac{2(a-1)l+1}{b}+k}) \setminus Q$ and $T = V(IK_2)$, we deduce

$$\gamma_H(S, T) = b|S| + d_{H-S}(T) - a|T| = 2(a-1)l + 1 + 2l - a \cdot (2l) = 1 < \varepsilon(S, T),$$

where $\varepsilon(S, T) = 2$ since S is not independent. And so, G is not fractional (a, b, k) -critical covered. Moreover, we admit that

$$\text{bind}(G) = \frac{|N_G(V(IK_2) \setminus \{u\})|}{|V(IK_2) \setminus \{u\}|} = \frac{n-1}{2l-1} = \frac{(a+b-1)(n-1)}{(a+b-1)(2l-1)} = \frac{(a+b-1)(n-1)}{bn-a-b-bk},$$

where $u \in V(IK_2)$. Hence, the condition $\text{bind}(G) > \frac{(a+b-1)(n-1)}{bn-a-b-bk}$ in Theorem 3 is best possible.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors would like to express their gratitude to the anonymous referees for their very helpful comments and suggestions which resulted in a much improved paper. This work is supported by 333 Project of Jiangsu Province and Six Big Talent Peak of Jiangsu Province (Grant No. JY-022).

REFERENCES

1. L. XIONG, *Characterization of forbidden subgraphs for the existence of even factors in a graph*, Discrete Applied Mathematics, **223**, pp. 135–139, 2017.
2. L. XIONG, *Closure operation for even factors on claw-free graphs*, Discrete Mathematics, **311**, pp. 1714–1723, 2011.
3. R. CYMER, M. KANO, *Generalizations of Marriage Theorem for degree factors*, Graphs and Combinatorics, **32**, pp. 2315–2322, 2016.
4. Y. YUAN, R. HAO, *A degree condition for fractional $[a, b]$ -covered graphs*, Information Processing Letters, **143**, pp. 20–23, 2019.
5. J. WU, J. YUAN, W. GAO, *Analysis of fractional factor system for data transmission in SDN*, Applied Mathematics and Nonlinear Sciences, **4**, 1, pp. 191–196, 2019.
6. Y. YUAN, R. HAO, *A neighborhood union condition for fractional ID - $[a, b]$ -factor-critical graphs*, Acta Mathematicae Applicatae Sinica, English Series, **34**, pp. 775–781, 2018.
7. S. ZHOU, T. ZHANG, Z. XU, *Subgraphs with orthogonal factorizations in graphs*, Discrete Applied Mathematics, 2020 (in press), DOI: 10.1016/j.dam.2019.12.011.
8. S. ZHOU, *Remarks on orthogonal factorizations of digraphs*, International Journal of Computer Mathematics, **91**, 10, pp. 2109–2117, 2014.
9. S. ZHOU, *Some results about component factors in graphs*, RAIRO-Operations Research, **53**, 3, pp. 723–730, 2019.
10. S. ZHOU, *Some new sufficient conditions for graphs to have fractional k -factors*, International Journal of Computer Mathematics, **88**, 3, pp. 484–490, 2011.
11. S. ZHOU, F. YANG, L. XU, *Two sufficient conditions for the existence of path factors in graphs*, Scientia Iranica, **26**, 6, pp. 3510–3514, 2019.
12. S. ZHOU, *A sufficient condition for a graph to be an (a, b, k) -critical graph*, International Journal of Computer Mathematics, **87**, 10, pp. 2202–2211, 2010.
13. S. ZHOU, *Remarks on path factors in graphs*, RAIRO-Operations Research, 2020 (in press), DOI: 10.1051/ro/2019111.
14. X. LV, *A degree condition for fractional (g, f, n) -critical covered graphs*, AIMS Mathematics, **5**, 2, pp. 872–878, 2020.
15. D. LIU, C. WANG, S. WANG, *Hamilton-connectivity of interconnection networks modeled by a product of graphs*, Applied Mathematics and Nonlinear Sciences, **3**, 2, pp. 419–426, 2018.
16. W. GAO, J. GUIRAO, H. WU, *Two tight independent set conditions for fractional (g, f, m) -deleted graphs systems*, Qualitative Theory of Dynamical Systems, **17**, 1, pp. 231–243, 2018.
17. W. GAO, W. WANG, *Degree sum condition for fractional ID - k -factor-critical graphs*, Miskolc Mathematical Notes, **18**, 2, pp. 751–758, 2017.

18. W. GAO, L. LIANG, Y. CHEN, *An isolated toughness condition for graphs to be fractional (k, m) -deleted graphs*, *Utilitas Mathematica*, **105**, pp. 303–316, 2017.
19. M. PLUMMER, *Graph factors and factorizations: 1985–2003: A survey*, *Discrete Mathematics*, **307**, pp. 791–821, 2007.
20. S. ZHOU, Y. XU, Z. SUN, *Degree conditions for fractional (a, b, k) -critical covered graphs*, *Information Processing Letters*, **152**, article ID 105838, 2019, DOI: 10.1016/j.ipl.2019.105838.
21. Z. SUN, S. ZHOU, *A generalization of orthogonal factorizations in digraphs*, *Information Processing Letters*, **132**, pp. 49–54, 2018.
22. S. ZHOU, Z. SUN, H. YE, *A toughness condition for fractional (k, m) -deleted graphs*, *Information Processing Letters*, **113**, pp. 255–259, 2013.
23. P. KATERINIS, D. R. WOODALL, *Binding numbers of graphs and the existence of k -factors*, *Quart. J. Math. Oxford*, **38**, 2, pp. 221–228, 1987.
24. M. KANO, N. TOKUSHIGE, *Binding numbers and f -factors of graphs*, *Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series B*, **54**, pp. 213–221, 1992.
25. S. ZHOU, Z. SUN, *Binding number conditions for $P_{\geq 2}$ -factor and $P_{\geq 3}$ -factor uniform graphs*, *Discrete Mathematics*, **343**, 3, article ID 111715, 2020, DOI: 10.1016/j.disc.2019.111715.
26. S. ZHOU, Z. SUN, *Some existence theorems on path factors with given properties in graphs*, *Acta Mathematica Sinica, English Series*, 2020, DOI: 10.1007/s10114-020-9224-5.
27. C. CHEN, *Binding number and minimum degree for $[a, b]$ -factor*, *Journal of System Science and Mathematical Science, Chinese Series*, **6**, pp. 1799–185, 1993.
28. S. ZHOU, Z. XU, Z. DUAN, *Binding number and fractional k -factors of graphs*, *Ars Combinatoria*, **102**, pp. 473–481, 2011.
29. Y. YUAN, R. HAO, *Neighborhood union conditions for fractional $[a, b]$ -covered graphs*, *Bulletin of the Malaysian Mathematical Sciences Society*, **43**, pp. 157–167, 2020, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40840-018-0669-y>.
30. Z. LI, G. YAN, X. ZHANG, *On fractional (g, f) -covered graphs*, *OR Transactions (China)*, **6**, 4, pp. 65–68, 2002.
31. D. R. WOODALL, *The binding number of a graph and its Anderson number*, *Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series B*, **15**, pp. 225–255, 1973.

Received April 8, 2019

