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Abstract. Let $\Omega$ be a smooth bounded domain of $\mathbb{R}^{N}, 2^{*}=\frac{2 N}{N-2} ; N \geqslant 3$; the critical exponent for the Sobolev embedding
and $p$ be a positive discontinuous function. We study the minimizing problem

$$
\inf \left\{\int_{\Omega} p(x)|\nabla u|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x, u \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega),\|u\|_{L^{2^{*}}(\Omega)}=1\right\} .
$$

We prove the existence of a minimizer under a geometrical condition on the domain.
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## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let $\Omega$ be a smooth bounded domain of $\mathbb{R}^{N}, N \geqslant 3$ and $2^{*}=\frac{2 N}{N-2}$ the critical exponent for the Sobolev embedding. Define $\Omega_{1}$ and $\Omega_{2}$ two disjoint domains such that $\Omega=\Omega_{1} \cup \Omega_{2}$ and the set $V(\Omega)=\left\{u \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega), \int_{\Omega}|u|^{2^{*}} \mathrm{~d} x=1\right\}$. Denote by $\Gamma=\partial \Omega_{1} \cap \partial \Omega_{2}$, which is not empty, and define the barycenter function

$$
\begin{align*}
\beta: V(\Omega) & \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{N} \\
u & \longmapsto \int_{\Omega} x|u|^{2^{*}} \mathrm{~d} x . \tag{1}
\end{align*}
$$

We consider the minimizing problem

$$
\begin{equation*}
S(p)=\inf _{u \in V(\Omega), \beta(u) \in \Gamma} \int_{\Omega} p(x)|\nabla u|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $p$ is a discontinuous function defined as follows:

$$
p(x)= \begin{cases}p_{1}(x), & \text { if } x \in \Omega_{1}  \tag{3}\\ p_{2}(x), & \text { if } x \in \bar{\Omega}_{2} \cap \Omega\end{cases}
$$

and $p_{i}, i=1,2$ are some positive functions which satisfy the following assumptions.

1. The functions $p_{i}$ are smooth on $\bar{\Omega}_{i}$ for $i=1,2$.
2. For $i=1,2, \alpha_{i}:=\min _{x \in \Omega_{i}} p_{i}(x)$ are strictly positive constants such that $\alpha_{1}<\alpha_{2}$.

The study of this problem has many interesting properties [8, 16] and arising in a geometric problem, namely, Yamabe problem and the prescribe scalar curvature problem [1]. The invariance of the problem under dilation causes a lack of compactness. Besides to the failure of Palais-Smale condition has been the subject of several study of this type of problem. In fact, Bahri et al. in [5] gave positive answer to the Euler equation associated to this problem, when some homology group of the domain with coefficients in $\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$ is non trivial. In [7], Brezis el al. studied the following problem

$$
\left\{\begin{align*}
-\operatorname{div}(p(x) \nabla u) & =u^{2^{*}-1}+\lambda u & & \text { in } \Omega,  \tag{4}\\
u & >0 & & \text { in } \Omega \\
u & =0 & & \text { on } \partial \Omega,
\end{align*}\right.
$$

where $\Omega$ a smooth bounded domain of $\mathbb{R}^{N}$. Let $\lambda_{1}$ be the first eigenvalue of $-\Delta$ on $\Omega$ with zero boundary condition and $\lambda^{*}$ denote a positive constant. The authors proved, in the case when $p$ is constant, the existence of a solution of (4); if $n \geqslant 4$, for $\lambda \in] 0, \lambda_{1}[$ and for $\lambda \in] \lambda^{*}, \lambda_{1}$ [, if $n=3$. Further on, Hadiji et al. in [11] extended the previous result to the general case when $p$ is a smooth positive function i.e. $p \in H^{1}(\Omega) \cup C(\bar{\Omega})$. The authors proved that the existence of the solution depends on the parameter $\lambda$, on the behavior of $p$ near its minima, and on the geometry of the domain $\Omega$.

In [12] Hadiji et al. studied the existence and the multiplicity of the solution to the problem (4), first, when the set of minimizers for the weight $p$ has a multiple connected component then, when the case when this set has one connected component and has a complex topology.

Recently, in [4] Baraket et al. gave positive answer to the problem (2), in the case when the functions $p_{i}, i \in\{1,2\}$, are positive constants. The authors proved the existence of a minimizers under some assumptions. Our result extends the previous one in the case when $p_{i}, i \in\{1,2\}$, are positive functions.

Remark that without the condition $\beta(u) \in \Gamma$ we have $S(p)=\alpha_{1} S$, as one can verify concentrating an extremal function for the best Sobolev constant $S$ near a point in the interior of the region $\Omega_{1}$. In this case the infimum $S(p)$ is not attained.

## 2. STATEMENTS AND PROOFS OF RESULTS

We need to recall some results of Baraket et al. in [4], let

$$
S_{\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}}=\inf \left\{\alpha_{1} \int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}}|\nabla u|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x+\alpha_{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}_{-}^{N}}|\nabla u|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x, u \in H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right), u \neq 0 \text { in } \mathbb{R}_{ \pm}^{N},\|u\|_{L^{*}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)}=1\right\}
$$

where $\mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}=\left\{\left(x^{\prime}, x_{N}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{N-1} \times\left[0, \infty[ \}\right.\right.$ and $\left.\left.\mathbb{R}_{-}^{N}=\left\{\left(x^{\prime}, x_{N}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{N-1} \times\right]-\infty, 0\right]\right\}$. Set

$$
S^{+}=\inf \left\{\int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}}|\nabla u|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x, \quad u \in H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}\right), u \neq 0 \text { in } \mathbb{R}_{+}^{N},\|u\|_{L^{*}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}\right)}=1\right\}
$$

and

$$
S^{-}=\inf \left\{\int_{\mathbb{R}_{-}^{N}}|\nabla u|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x, \quad u \in H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}_{-}^{N}\right), u \neq 0 \text { in } \mathbb{R}_{-}^{N},\|u\|_{L^{*}\left(\mathbb{R}_{-}^{N}\right)}=1\right\} .
$$

It is easy to verify that (see for example $[9]) S^{+}=S^{-}=\frac{S}{2^{\frac{2}{N}}}$, where $S$ is the best constant of the Sobolev embedding defined by $S=\inf _{u \in H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \backslash\{0\}} \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|\nabla u|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x}{\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|u|^{2^{*}} \mathrm{~d} x\right)^{\frac{2}{2^{*}}}}$. We need also to recall the following result from $|4|$

THEOREM 1. The following equality holds

$$
S_{\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}}=\left(\frac{\alpha_{1}^{\frac{N}{2}}+\alpha_{2}^{\frac{N}{2}}}{2}\right)^{\frac{2}{N}} S
$$

We state now our main result
THEOREM 2. Let $\Omega, \Omega_{1}, \Omega_{2}$, $p$ be as defined in the Introduction and let $x_{0} \in \Gamma$. Assume that the following geometrical condition (g.c.) on $\Gamma$ holds: in a neighborhood of $x_{0}, \Omega_{2}$ lies on one side of the tangent plane at $x_{0}$ and the mean curvature with respect to the unit inner normal of $\Omega_{2}$ at $x_{0}$ is positive.
Then $S(p)$ is attained by some $u \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$.
The following proposition presents a strict lower bound for the minimizing problem
PROPOSITION 3. The following inequality holds

$$
\alpha_{1} S<S(p)
$$

Proof. We have $S(p) \geqslant \min _{x \in \Omega} p(x) S$, so $S(p) \geqslant \alpha_{1} S$. Arguing by contradiction, suppose that $S(p)=\alpha_{1} S$. Assume that the equality holds and consider a minimizing sequence $\left(u_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$, then for every $n \in \mathbb{N}, u_{n} \in V(\Omega)$, $\beta\left(u_{n}\right) \in \Gamma$ and $\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty} \int_{\Omega} p(x)\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x=\alpha_{1} S$.

Since $\int_{\Omega} p(x)\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x \geqslant \alpha_{1} S$ then $\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty} \int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x=S$. Therefore, there exists $x_{0} \in \bar{\Omega}$ such that, for a subsequence, $\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{2} \rightarrow S \delta_{x_{0}}$ and $\left|u_{n}\right|^{2^{*}} \rightarrow \delta_{x_{0}}$, where $\delta_{x_{0}}$ is the Dirac mass in $x_{0}$, see [14].

Since $\beta\left(u_{n}\right) \in \Gamma$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, it follows that $x_{0} \in \Gamma$ and $p\left(x_{0}\right)=\alpha_{0}>\alpha_{1}$. Therefore $\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty} \int_{\Omega} p(x)\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x=$ $p\left(x_{0}\right) S>\alpha_{1} S$, which gives a contradiction.

If $\Gamma$ is flat, that is, the mean curvature at any point of $\Gamma$ is zero, then we have the following non-existence result

PROPOSITION 4. Let $\Omega=B(0, R)$ and consider $\Gamma=\left\{x \in \Omega / x_{N}=0\right\}$ which divides $\Omega$ into two subdomains $\Omega_{1}$ and $\Omega_{2}$. Then $S(p)$ is not attained.

Proof. Indeed, if (2) is attained by $u$ then $|u|$ is a minimization solution of (2). Let us suppose that $S(p)$ is attained by some positive function $u \geqslant 0$. Then there exists a Lagrange multiplier $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $u$ satisfies

$$
\begin{cases}-\operatorname{div}\left(p_{1}(x) \nabla u\right)=\lambda u^{2^{*}-1} & \text { in } \Omega_{1},  \tag{5}\\ -\operatorname{div}\left(p_{2}(x) \nabla u\right)=\lambda u^{2^{*}-1} & \text { in } \Omega_{2}, \\ p_{1}(x) \frac{\partial u}{\partial v_{1}}+p_{2}(x) \frac{\partial u}{\partial v_{2}}=0 & \text { on } \Gamma, \\ u \neq 0 & \text { on } \Gamma \\ u=0 & \text { on } \partial \Omega .\end{cases}
$$

Let us suppose that $S(p)$ is attained by some positive function $u \geqslant 0$, On one hand, if we multiply (5) by $\nabla u \cdot x$ and we integrate on $\Omega_{i}$ we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{\Omega_{i}}-\operatorname{div}\left(p_{i}(x) \nabla u\right) \nabla u \cdot x \mathrm{~d} x & =-\frac{n-2}{2} \int_{\Omega_{i}} p_{i}(x)|\nabla u|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x-\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega_{i}} \nabla p_{i}(x) \cdot x|\nabla u|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x \\
& -\frac{1}{2} \int_{\partial \Omega_{i}} p_{i}(x)\left(x \cdot v_{i}\right)\left|\frac{\partial u}{\partial v_{i}}\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} s_{x} \tag{6}
\end{align*}
$$

where $i \in\{1,2\}$ and $v_{i}$ denote the outward normal to $\partial \Omega_{i}$. On the other hand, if we multiply

$$
-\operatorname{div}\left(p_{i}(x) \nabla u\right)=u^{2^{*}-1}
$$

by $\frac{n-2}{2} u$ and we integrate over $\Omega_{i}$, we obtain,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{n-2}{2} \int_{\Omega_{i}} p_{i}(x)|\nabla u|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x=\frac{n-2}{2} \int_{\Omega_{i}}|u(x)|^{2^{*}} \mathrm{~d} x \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining (6) and (7) we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{n-2}{2} \int_{\Omega_{i}} p_{i}(x)|\nabla u|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x-\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega_{i}} \nabla p_{i}(x) \cdot x|\nabla u|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x & -\frac{1}{2} \int_{\partial \Omega_{i}} p_{i}(x)\left(x \cdot v_{i}\right)\left|\frac{\partial u}{\partial v_{i}}\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} s_{x}  \tag{8}\\
& =\frac{n-2}{2} \int_{\Omega_{i}}|u(x)|^{2^{*}} \mathrm{~d} x .
\end{align*}
$$

So, $\int_{\Omega_{i}} \nabla p_{i}(x) \cdot x|\nabla u|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x+\int_{\partial \Omega_{i}} p_{i}(x)\left(x \cdot v_{i}\right)\left|\frac{\partial u}{\partial v_{i}}\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} s_{x}=0$. On the other hand, we have $\int_{\Omega} \nabla p(x) \cdot x|\nabla u|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x+$ $\int_{\partial \Omega} p(x)(x \cdot v)\left|\frac{\partial u}{\partial v}\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} s_{x}=0$, where $v$ denote the outward normal to $\partial \Omega$, and $\int_{\Omega} \nabla p(x) \cdot x|\nabla u|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x=\int_{\Omega_{1}} \nabla p_{1}(x)$. $x|\nabla u|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x+\int_{\Omega_{2}} \nabla p_{2}(x) \cdot x|\nabla u|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x$. Then, by combining the above equations we obtain the Pohozaev identity

$$
\int_{\Gamma}\left[p_{1}(x)(x \cdot v)\left|\frac{\partial u}{\partial v}\right|^{2}+p_{2}(x)(x \cdot v)\left|\frac{\partial u}{\partial v}\right|^{2}\right] \mathrm{d} s_{x}=0
$$

Since $B(0, R)$ is star-shaped about 0 then $x \cdot v>0$ on $\partial \Omega$, which gives a contradiction. Therefore $S(p)$ is not attained.

The proof of Theorem 2 follows from the following two Lemmas.
LEMMA 5. Under the hypothesis of Theorem 2, we have: if $\alpha_{1} S<S(p)<S_{\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}}$ then the infimum in (2) is attained.

Proof. We follow the arguments of Baraket et al. from [4]. Let $\left(u_{n}\right) \subset H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$ be a minimizing sequence for (2), that is,

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{\Omega} p(x)\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x & =S(p)+o(1)  \tag{9}\\
\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{L^{2^{*}}} & =1 \tag{10}
\end{align*}
$$

and $\beta\left(u_{n}\right) \in \Gamma$. Easily we see that $\left(u_{n}\right)$ is bounded in $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$, we may extract a subsequence still denoted by $u_{n}$, such that $u_{n} \rightharpoonup u$ weakly $\operatorname{in} H_{0}^{1}(\Omega), u_{n} \rightarrow u$ strongly in $L^{2}(\Omega), u_{n} \rightarrow u$ a.e. on $\Omega$, with $\|u\|_{L^{2^{*}}} \leqslant 1$. Set $v_{n}=u_{n}-u$, so that $v_{n} \rightharpoonup 0$ weakly in $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega), v_{n} \rightarrow 0$ strongly in $L^{2}(\Omega), v_{n} \rightarrow 0$ a.e. on $\Omega$. Using 9 we write

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega} p(x)|\nabla u(x)|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x+\int_{\Omega} p(x)\left|\nabla v_{n}(x)\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x=S(p)+o(1) \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

since $v_{n} \rightharpoonup 0$ weakly in $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$. On the other hand, it follows from a result of Brezis-Lieb ( [6], relation (1)) that $\left\|u+v_{n}\right\|_{L^{2^{*}}}^{2^{*}}=\|u\|_{L^{2^{*}}}^{2^{*}}+\left\|v_{n}\right\|_{L^{2^{*}}}^{2^{*}}+o(1)$, (which holds since $v_{n}$ is bounded in $L^{2^{*}}$ and $v_{n} \rightarrow 0$ a.e.). Thus, by (10), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
1=\|u\|_{L^{2^{*}}}^{2^{*}}+\left\|v_{n}\right\|_{L^{2^{*}}}^{2^{*}}+o(1) \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

and therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
1 \leqslant\|u\|_{L^{2^{*}}}^{2}+\left\|v_{n}\right\|_{L^{2^{*}}}^{2}+o(1) \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $x_{0}=\left(x^{\prime}, x_{0 N}\right)$, denote by $\mathbb{R}_{+, x_{0}}^{N}=\left\{x=\left(x^{\prime}, x_{N}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{N} / x^{\prime} \in \mathbb{R}^{N-1}, x_{N}>x_{0 N}\right\}$ and $\mathbb{R}_{-, x_{0}}^{N}=\left\{x=\left(x^{\prime}, x_{N}\right) \in\right.$ $\left.\mathbb{R}^{N} / x^{\prime} \in \mathbb{R}^{N-1}, x_{N}<x_{0 N}\right\}$ and using the definition of $S_{\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}}$, extending $v_{j}$ by 0 in $\mathbb{R}^{N}$ (still denoted by $v_{j}$ ) we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|v_{n}\right\|_{L^{2^{*}}}^{2} & \leqslant \frac{1}{S_{\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}}}\left[\alpha_{1} \int_{\mathbb{R}_{+, x_{0}}^{N}}\left|\nabla v_{n}(x)\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x+\alpha_{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}_{-,, x_{0}}^{N}}\left|\nabla v_{n}(x)\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x\right] \\
& \leqslant \frac{1}{S_{\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}}}\left[\int_{\Omega \cap \mathbb{R}_{+, x_{0}}^{N}} \alpha_{1}\left|\nabla v_{n}(x)\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x+\int_{\Omega \cap \mathbb{R}_{-, x_{0}}^{N}} \alpha_{2}\left|\nabla v_{n}(x)\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x\right] \\
& \leqslant \frac{1}{S_{\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}}}\left[\int_{\Omega \cap \mathbb{R}_{+, x_{0}}^{N}} p_{1}(x)\left|\nabla v_{n}(x)\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x+\int_{\Omega \cap \mathbb{R}_{-, x_{0}}^{N}} p_{2}(x)\left|\nabla v_{n}(x)\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x\right] \\
& \leqslant \frac{1}{S_{\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}}} \int_{\Omega} p(x)\left|\nabla v_{n}(x)\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x . \tag{14}
\end{align*}
$$

We claim that $u \neq 0$. Indeed, suppose that $u \equiv 0$. From 11 we obtain $\int_{\Omega} p(x)\left|\nabla v_{n}\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x=S(p)+o(1)$, then $\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty} \int_{\Omega} p(x)\left|\nabla v_{n}\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x=S(p)$. From 12 we see that $\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty}\left\|v_{n}\right\|_{L^{2^{*}}}=1$. Or 14 gives that

$$
\left\|v_{n}\right\|_{L^{2^{*}}}^{2} S_{\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}} \leqslant \int_{\Omega} p(x)\left|\nabla v_{n}\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x
$$

Passing to the limit in the previous inequality we obtain $S_{\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}} \leqslant S(p)$. This contradicts the hypothesis $S(p)<S_{\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}}$. Consequently, $u \neq 0$. Now, we deduce from (13) and 14) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
S(p) \leqslant S(p)\|u\|_{L^{2^{*}}}^{2}+\frac{S(p)}{S_{\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}}} \int_{\Omega} p(x)\left|\nabla v_{n}(x)\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x+o(1) \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining (11) and (15) we obtain

$$
\int_{\Omega} p(x)|\nabla u(x)|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x+\int_{\Omega} p(x)\left|\nabla v_{n}(x)\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x \leqslant S(p)\|u\|_{L^{2^{*}}}^{2}+\frac{S(p)}{S_{\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}}} \int_{\Omega} p(x)\left|\nabla v_{n}(x)\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x+o(1)
$$

Thus $\int_{\Omega} p(x)|\nabla u(x)|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x \leqslant S(p)\|u\|_{L^{2^{*}}}^{2}+\left[\frac{S(p)}{S_{\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}}}-1\right] \int_{\Omega} p(x)\left|\nabla v_{n}(x)\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x+o(1)$. Since $S(p)<S_{\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}}$, we deduce

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega} p(x)|\nabla u(x)|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x \leqslant S(p)\|u\|_{L^{2^{*}}}^{2} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore $\int_{\Omega} p(x)|\nabla u(x)|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x=S(p)\|u\|_{L^{2^{*}}}^{2}$. It follows that $u_{n} \rightarrow u$ strongly in $L^{2^{*}}(\Omega)$ and $\beta(u) \in \Gamma$. This means that $u$ is a minimum of $S(p)$.

LEMMA 6. Assume that there exists $x_{0}$ in the interior of $\Gamma$ such that the condition (g.c.) holds. Then

$$
S(p)<S_{\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}}
$$

Proof. Let $\left\{\lambda_{i}\left(x_{0}\right)\right\}_{1 \leqslant i \leqslant N-1}$, denote the principal curvatures and $H\left(x_{0}\right)=\frac{1}{N-1} \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} \lambda_{i}\left(x_{0}\right)$ the mean curvature at $x_{0}$ with respect to the unit normal. For simplicity, we suppose that $x_{0}=0$. Therefore we note $\left\{\lambda_{i}\right\}_{1 \leqslant i \leqslant N-1}$
the principal curvatures at 0 and $H(0)=\frac{1}{N-1} \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} \lambda_{i}$. Let $R>0$, such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
B(R) \cap \Omega_{1} & =\left\{\left(x^{\prime}, x_{N}\right) \in B(R) ; x_{N}>\rho\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right\}, \\
B(R) \cap \Omega_{2} & =\left\{\left(x^{\prime}, x_{N}\right) \in B(R) ; x_{N}<\rho\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right\}, \\
B(R) \cap \Gamma & =\left\{\left(x^{\prime}, x_{N}\right) \in B(R) ; x_{N}=\rho\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right\},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $x^{\prime}=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{N-1}\right)$ and $\rho\left(x^{\prime}\right)$ is defined by $\rho\left(x^{\prime}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{N-1} \lambda_{i} x_{i}^{2}+O\left(\left|x^{\prime}\right|^{3}\right)$. We notice that the condition (g.c.) implies that $\rho\left(x^{\prime}\right) \geqslant 0$. Let us define, for $\varepsilon>0$ and for $\left.t \in\right] 0,1$ [ the function

$$
u_{x^{k}, \xi, t}(x)= \begin{cases}\frac{\varphi(x)}{\left(\varepsilon+\left|\mathbf{x}^{\prime}-\left(\mathbf{x}^{k}\right)\right|^{2}+t^{-\frac{N}{2}}\left(\mathbf{x}_{N}-\mathbf{x}_{N}^{k}\right)^{2}\right)^{\frac{N-2}{2}}} & \text { if } x_{N}>\mathbf{0} \\ \frac{\varphi(x)}{\left(\varepsilon+\left|\mathbf{x}^{\prime}-\left(\mathbf{x}^{k}\right)\right|^{2}+(1-t)^{-\frac{N-2}{2}}\left(\mathbf{x}_{N}-\mathbf{x}_{N}^{k}\right)^{2}\right)^{\frac{N-2}{2}}} & \text { if } x_{N}<\mathbf{0}\end{cases}
$$

where $\varphi$ is a radial $C^{\infty}$-function such that

$$
\varphi(x)= \begin{cases}1 & \text { if }\left|x-x^{k}\right| \leqslant \frac{R}{4} \\ 0 & \text { if }\left|x-x^{k}\right| \geqslant \frac{R}{2}\end{cases}
$$

$k \in\{1,2\}$ and $p_{k}\left(x_{k}\right)=\min _{\Omega_{k}} p_{k}=\alpha_{k}$. There exists $t_{0}=\frac{\left(\frac{\alpha_{1}}{\alpha_{2}}\right)^{\frac{N}{2}}}{1+\left(\frac{\alpha_{1}}{\alpha_{2}}\right)^{\frac{N}{2}}}$ such that

$$
\sup _{t \in[0,1]} \frac{\left(\alpha_{1} t^{\frac{2}{2^{*}}}+\alpha_{2}(1-t)^{\frac{2}{2^{*}}}\right) S}{2^{\frac{2}{N}}}=\frac{\left(\alpha_{1} t_{0}^{\frac{2}{2^{*}}}+\alpha_{2}\left(1-t_{0}\right)^{\frac{2}{2^{*}}}\right) S}{2^{\frac{2}{N}}}=\left(\frac{\alpha_{1}^{\frac{N}{2}}+\alpha_{2}^{\frac{N}{2}}}{2}\right)^{\frac{2}{N}} S
$$

We note $Q(u)=Q_{1}(u)+Q_{2}(u)$ where $Q_{i}(u)=\frac{\int_{\Omega_{i}} p_{i}(x)|\nabla u|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x}{\left(\int_{\Omega}|u|^{2^{*}} \mathrm{~d} x\right)^{\frac{2}{2^{*}}}}$.
In order to obtain the result of Lemma 6, we use $u_{x^{k}, \varepsilon}=:\left(u_{x^{k}, \varepsilon, t_{0}}\right)$ as a test function for $S(p)$. From [2,4], direct computation gives

$$
Q_{1}\left(u_{x^{1}, \varepsilon}\right)= \begin{cases}\frac{p_{1}\left(x^{1}\right) t^{\frac{2}{t^{*}}} S}{2^{2}}+p_{1}\left(x^{1}\right) S H(0) A(N) \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}|\ln (\varepsilon)|+O\left(\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}\right) & \text { if } N=3  \tag{17}\\ \frac{p_{1}\left(x^{1}\right) t_{0}^{\frac{2}{*}}}{} 2^{\frac{1}{N}}+p_{1}\left(x^{1}\right) S H(0) A(N) \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}+O(\varepsilon|\ln (\varepsilon)|) & \text { if } N \geqslant 4\end{cases}
$$

and

$$
Q_{2}\left(u_{x^{2}, \varepsilon}\right)= \begin{cases}\frac{p_{2}\left(x^{2}\right)\left(1-t_{0}\right)^{\frac{2}{2^{2}}} S}{2^{\frac{2}{N}}}-p_{2}\left(x^{2}\right) S H(0) A(N) \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}|\ln (\varepsilon)|+O\left(\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}\right) & \text { if } N=3  \tag{18}\\ \frac{p_{2}\left(x^{2}\right)\left(1-t_{0}\right)^{\frac{2}{2}} S}{2^{\frac{2}{N}}}-p_{2}\left(x^{2}\right) S H(0) A(N) \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}+O(\varepsilon|\ln (\varepsilon)|) & \text { if } N \geqslant 4\end{cases}
$$

where $A(N)$ is a positive constant.

We denote $u_{0, \varepsilon}(x)=u_{0, \varepsilon, t_{0}}(x)$. Combining 17 and 18 we see that,

$$
Q\left(u_{0, \varepsilon}\right)= \begin{cases}\frac{\left(\alpha_{1} t_{0}^{\frac{2}{*}}+\alpha_{2}\left(1-t_{0}\right)^{\frac{2}{2^{*}}}\right) S}{2^{\frac{2}{N}}}-\left(\alpha_{2}-\alpha_{1}\right) H(0) S A(N) \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}|\ln (\varepsilon)|+O\left(\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}\right) & \text { if } N=3 \\ \frac{\left(\alpha_{1} t_{0}^{\frac{2}{*^{*}}}+\alpha_{2}\left(1-t_{0}\right)^{\frac{2}{2^{*}}}\right) S}{2^{\frac{2}{N}}}-\left(\alpha_{2}-\alpha_{1}\right) H(0) S A(N) \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}+O(\varepsilon|\ln (\varepsilon)|) & \text { if } N \geqslant 4\end{cases}
$$

Therefore, using the definition of $t_{0}$, we obtain

$$
Q\left(u_{0, \varepsilon}\right) \leqslant \begin{cases}\left(\frac{\alpha_{1}^{\frac{N}{2}}+\alpha_{2}^{\frac{N}{2}}}{2}\right)^{\frac{2}{N}} S-\left(\alpha_{2}-\alpha_{1}\right) H(0) S A(N) \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}|\ln (\varepsilon)|+O\left(\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}\right) & \text { if } N=3 \\ \left(\frac{\alpha_{1}^{\frac{N}{2}}+\alpha_{2}^{\frac{N}{2}}}{2}\right)^{\frac{2}{N}} S-\left(\alpha_{2}-\alpha_{1}\right) H(0) S A(N) \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}+O(\varepsilon|\ln (\varepsilon)|) & \text { if } N \geqslant 4\end{cases}
$$

Finally, since $\alpha_{1}<\alpha_{2}$ then we obtain the desired result.
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