

EXPLICIT EIGENVALUE INTERVALS FOR THE DIRICHLET PROBLEM OF A SINGULAR k -HESSIAN EQUATION

Zaitao LIANG¹, Xiuqiang ZHANG¹, Shengjun LI²

¹ Anhui University of Science and Technology, School of Mathematics and Big Data, Huainan 232001, Anhui, China

E-mail: ztliang@aust.edu.cn, austshuda@163.com

² Hainan University, School of Science, Haikou, 570228, Hainan, China

Corresponding author: Shengjun LI, E-mail: shjxiaoli@126.com

Abstract. We study the Dirichlet problem of a singular k -Hessian equation with an eigenvalue parameter λ . We prove that the problem has at least one nontrivial radial solution for each λ in an explicit eigenvalue interval. Some results in the literature are generalized and improved.

Key words: nontrivial radial solutions, k -Hessian equations, Dirichlet problem, explicit eigenvalue interval.

Mathematics Subject Classification (MSC2020): 35A16, 35B09.

1. INTRODUCTION AND MAIN RESULTS

In this paper, we consider the following eigenvalue problem of the k -Hessian equation

$$\begin{cases} S_k(D^2u) = \lambda f(|x|, -u) & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

where $\Omega = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |x| < 1\}$, λ is a positive parameter, $f : [0, 1] \times [0, 1) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ is a continuous function and

$$\lim_{v \rightarrow 1^-} f(r, v) = +\infty, \quad \text{uniformly for } r \in [0, 1]. \quad (2)$$

For $k \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, $S_k(D^2u)$ is the k -Hessian operator, which denotes the k -th elementary symmetric function of the eigenvalues for D^2u , i.e.,

$$S_k(D^2u) = P_k(\Lambda) = \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_k \leq n} \lambda_{i_1} \lambda_{i_2} \cdots \lambda_{i_k},$$

where $\Lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n)$ is the eigenvalues of the Hessian matrix D^2u .

The k -Hessian equations arise from fluid mechanics, geometric problems and other applied subjects. For instance, when $k = n$, the k -Hessian equations can describe the Weingarten curvature and the reflector shape design. Recently, the radial solutions for the Dirichlet problems of the k -Hessian equations have been discussed by many scholars, and some excellent results have been obtained. See [3–7, 12–20] and the references therein. For example, in [18], the existence and uniqueness of nontrivial radial solutions to the following k -Hessian problem

$$\begin{cases} S_k(D^2u) = \lambda f(-u) & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases}$$

has been studied by the fixed point index in a cone, where the author assumed that λ is a large parameter, f is a continuous function and may have k -superlinear growth at 0. Later, in [19], the same author continued to consider the following k -Hessian problem

$$\begin{cases} S_k(D^2u) = \lambda H(|x|)f(-u) & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases} \quad (3)$$

where f is a continuous function and may be singular at 0 with possible k -superlinear growth at ∞ . She proved that there exists an interval $\mathbf{S} \subset (0, +\infty)$, such that the problem (3) has at least two nontrivial radial solutions for any $\lambda \in \mathbf{S}$. Recently, Zhang, Xu and Wu in [20] considered the following eigenvalue problem of k -Hessian equation

$$\begin{cases} (-1)^k S_k^{\frac{1}{k}}(D^2u) = \lambda f(|x|, u) & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases} \quad (4)$$

where $k \leq n < 2k$ and $f : C(B_1 \times \mathbb{R}/\{0\}) \rightarrow (0, +\infty)$ has a singularity at $u = 0$. By constructing the upper and lower solutions and using the Schauder's fixed point theorem, they proved that there exist two positive constants $\lambda_1 < \lambda^*$ such that the problem (4) has at least one radial solution for any $\lambda \in (\lambda_1, \lambda^*)$.

Notice that the eigenvalue intervals obtained in the above references are not explicit intervals. Motivated by this, in this paper, we continue to study the eigenvalue problem of k -Hessian problem (1). The main result of this paper is the following theorem.

THEOREM 1. *Assume that (2) holds and there exists a continuous function $h : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ such that*

$$\limsup_{v \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{f(r, v)}{v^k} = h(r), \quad \text{uniformly for } r \in [0, 1]. \quad (5)$$

Then the problem (1) has at least one nontrivial radial solution for every $\lambda \in E$, where

$$E = \begin{cases} \left(0, \frac{2^k n C_{n-1}^{k-1}}{k h^*}\right], & \text{if } h^* > 0, \\ (0, +\infty), & \text{if } h^* = 0 \end{cases}$$

and $h^ = \max_{r \in [0, 1]} h(r)$.*

Significantly, the eigenvalue interval we obtain is an explicit interval and the nonlinear term we deal with is more general than those in some known results, because the nonlinear term f includes not only the case of k -superlinear at $v = 0$ ($h(r) = 0$) but also some other interesting situations ($h^* > 0$). Moreover, the nonlinear term has a singularity at $v = 1$.

The proof of Theorem 1 will be presented in Section 2. In Section 3, we give an example to illustrate our result.

2. PROOF OF THEOREM 1

In this section, we will give the proof of Theorem 1 by the following fixed point theorem in cones.

LEMMA 1 [9]. *Let K be a cone in the Banach space X . Suppose that A and B are open bounded subsets of X with $\bar{A}_K \subset B_K$, $A_K \neq \emptyset$, where $A_K = A \cap K$ and $B_K = B \cap K$. Let $T : \bar{B}_K \rightarrow K$ be a completely continuous operator such that*

(H₁) $\|Tv\| \leq \|v\|$ for $v \in \partial_K A = (\partial A) \cap K$,

(H₂) *there exists $\theta \in K \setminus \{0\}$ such that $v \neq Tv + \gamma\theta$ for $v \in \partial_K B = (\partial B) \cap K$ and $\gamma > 0$.*

Then T has at least one fixed point in $\bar{B}_K \setminus A_K$.

Such method was used in [1, 2, 11] to study the periodic problem of differential equations. The proof of Theorem 1 will be divided into a sequence of lemmas.

Let $u(x) = -v(r)$, where $r = |x|$, then the problem (1) is transformed to the problem

$$\begin{cases} C_{n-1}^{k-1}(r^{n-k}(-v')^k)' = kr^{n-1}\lambda f(r, v), & r \in (0, 1), \\ v'(0) = 0, \quad v(1) = 0. \end{cases} \quad (6)$$

Let $X = C[0, 1]$ with the norm $\|v\| = \sup_{r \in [0, 1]} |v(r)|$. Define K to be a cone in X by

$$K = \{v \in X : v(r) \geq 0, \quad r \in [0, 1] \text{ and } \min_{r \in [\sigma, 1-\sigma]} v(r) \geq \sigma \|v\|\},$$

where σ is a positive constant with $0 < \sigma < \frac{1}{2}$. Define

$$B^a = \{v \in X : \|v\| < a\}, \quad \Omega^b = \{v \in X : \min_{r \in [\sigma, 1-\sigma]} v(r) < \sigma b\}.$$

Since the sets Ω^b are unbounded for each $b > 0$, we can not use Lemma 1 to Ω^b . However, we will be able to apply Lemma 1, taking into account that for each $c > b$, the following relations hold:

LEMMA 2. $\Omega_K^b = (\Omega^b \cap B^c)_K$ and $\overline{\Omega_K^b} = \overline{(\Omega^b \cap B^c)_K}$.

Proof. According to [8, Lemma 2.4] or [10, Lemma 2.5], we have the following properties

(p₁) Ω_K^b and B_K^b are open relative to K ; (p₂) $B_K^{\sigma b} \subset \Omega_K^b \subset B_K^b$;

(p₃) $v \in \partial_K \Omega^b$ if and only if $\min_{r \in [\sigma, 1-\sigma]} v(r) = \sigma b$;

(p₄) if $v \in \partial_K \Omega^b$, then $b \geq v(r) \geq \sigma b, r \in [\sigma, 1 - \sigma]$.

By (p₂), the first equality can be obtained directly. Now we prove that the second equality holds. On the one hand, notice that $(\Omega^b \cap B^c)_K \subseteq \overline{\Omega_K^b}$. On the other hand, by (p₃), for any $v \in \overline{\Omega_K^b}$, we have the following inequality

$$\sigma \|v\| \leq \min_{r \in [\sigma, 1-\sigma]} v(r) \leq \sigma b < \sigma c,$$

which means that $v \in (\overline{\Omega^b \cap B^c})_K \subset \overline{(\Omega^b \cap B^c)_K}$. Thus $\overline{\Omega_K^b} \subseteq \overline{(\Omega^b \cap B^c)_K}$. Taken together, we get the second equality. \square

Define

$$(Tv)(r) = \int_r^1 \left(\frac{k}{C_{n-1}^{k-1}} t^{k-n} \int_0^t s^{n-1} \lambda f(s, v(s)) ds \right)^{\frac{1}{k}} dt, \quad v \in \overline{\Omega_K^b}, \quad r \in [0, 1],$$

where $0 < b < 1$. Notice that the fixed point $v \in \overline{\Omega_K^b}$ of T corresponds to a positive solution v of the problem (6).

LEMMA 3. $T : \overline{\Omega_K^b} \rightarrow K$ is a completely continuous operator.

Proof. For any $v \in \overline{\Omega_K^b}$, similar to [6, Lemma 2.2], we can verify that

$$(Tv)(r) \geq 0, \quad (Tv)'(r) \leq 0, \quad (Tv)''(r) \leq 0, \quad \min_{r \in [\sigma, 1-\sigma]} Tv(r) \geq \sigma \|Tv\|,$$

which implies that $T(\overline{\Omega_K^b}) \subset K$. Moreover, similar to the analysis in the proof of [7, Theorem 1], we can prove that T is a completely continuous operator. \square

LEMMA 4. *There exist positive constants a and b with $0 < a < \sigma b < b < 1$ such that*

- (C₁) $\|Tv\| \leq \|v\|$, for $v \in \partial_K B^a$;
- (C₂) *there exists $\theta \in K \setminus \{0\}$ such that $v \neq Tv + \gamma\theta$, for $v \in \partial_K \Omega^b$ and $\gamma > 0$;*
- (C₃) $\overline{B^a_K} \subset \Omega^b_K$.

Proof. By (5), for every $\lambda \in E$, we get that there exists a constant a with $0 < a < \sigma < 1$ such that

$$\lambda f(r, v) \leq \lambda h(r)v^k \leq \frac{2^k n C_{n-1}^{k-1}}{k} v^k, \text{ for } (r, v) \in [0, 1] \times [0, a].$$

Then, for any $v \in \partial_K B^a$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|Tv\| &= \sup_{r \in [0, 1]} \int_r^1 \left(\frac{k}{C_{n-1}^{k-1}} t^{k-n} \int_0^t s^{n-1} \lambda f(s, v(s)) ds \right)^{\frac{1}{k}} dt = \int_0^1 \left(\frac{k}{C_{n-1}^{k-1}} t^{k-n} \int_0^t s^{n-1} \lambda f(s, v(s)) ds \right)^{\frac{1}{k}} dt \\ &\leq \int_0^1 \left(\frac{k}{C_{n-1}^{k-1}} t^{k-n} \int_0^t s^{n-1} \frac{2^k n C_{n-1}^{k-1}}{k} v^k ds \right)^{\frac{1}{k}} dt \\ &\leq \int_0^1 \left(\frac{k}{C_{n-1}^{k-1}} t^{k-n} \int_0^t \frac{2^k n C_{n-1}^{k-1} a^k}{k} s^{n-1} ds \right)^{\frac{1}{k}} dt = \int_0^1 2at dt = a = \|v\|, \end{aligned}$$

that is, (C₁) is established.

Let $\theta \equiv 1 \in K \setminus \{0\}$, we claim that

$$v \neq Tv + \gamma, \quad \forall v \in \partial_K \Omega^b \text{ and } \gamma > 0.$$

By contradiction, assume that there exist $v_0 \in \partial_K \Omega^b$ and $\gamma_0 > 0$ such that $v_0 = Tv_0 + \gamma_0$. It follows from the property (p₄) that v_0 satisfies

$$\sigma b = \sigma \|v_0\| \leq v_0(r) \leq b, \quad r \in [\sigma, 1 - \sigma].$$

By (2), for every $\lambda \in E$, we get that there exists a positive constant $b \in (\frac{a}{\sigma}, 1)$ such that

$$\lambda f(r, v) \geq \frac{2^k n C_{n-1}^{k-1}}{k(2\sigma - \sigma^2)^k} v^k, \text{ for all } (r, v) \in [0, 1] \times [\sigma b, b].$$

Then, for any $r \in [\sigma, 1 - \sigma]$, it can be known that

$$\begin{aligned} v_0(r) &= Tv_0(r) + \gamma_0 \\ &= \int_r^1 \left(\frac{k}{C_{n-1}^{k-1}} t^{k-n} \int_0^t s^{n-1} \lambda f(s, v_0(s)) ds \right)^{\frac{1}{k}} dt + \gamma_0 \\ &\geq \int_{1-\sigma}^1 \left(\frac{k}{C_{n-1}^{k-1}} t^{k-n} \int_0^t s^{n-1} \lambda f(s, v_0(s)) ds \right)^{\frac{1}{k}} dt + \gamma_0 \\ &\geq \int_{1-\sigma}^1 \left(\frac{k}{C_{n-1}^{k-1}} t^{k-n} \int_0^t s^{n-1} \frac{2^k n C_{n-1}^{k-1} v_0^k}{k(2\sigma - \sigma^2)^k} ds \right)^{\frac{1}{k}} dt + \gamma_0 \\ &\geq \int_{1-\sigma}^1 \left(\frac{k}{C_{n-1}^{k-1}} t^{k-n} \int_0^t \frac{2^k n C_{n-1}^{k-1} b^k}{k(2 - \sigma)^k} s^{n-1} ds \right)^{\frac{1}{k}} dt + \gamma_0 \\ &= \int_{1-\sigma}^1 \frac{2b}{2 - \sigma} t dt + \gamma_0 = \sigma b + \gamma_0 > \sigma b, \end{aligned}$$

which contradicts (p₃). Thus, (C₂) is satisfied.

According to the property (p₂), we have

$$\overline{B}_K^a \subset B_K^{\sigma b} \subset \Omega_K^b,$$

that is, (C₃) holds. □

Finally, based on the above analysis and Lemma 1, we get that T has at least one positive fixed point $v \in \overline{\Omega}_K^b \setminus B_K^a$, which satisfies

$$\sigma b \geq \min_{r \in [\sigma, 1-\sigma]} v(r) \geq \sigma \|v\| \geq \sigma a,$$

that is, the problem (6) has at least one positive solution v satisfying

$$b \geq \|v\| \geq a \text{ and } \sigma b \geq \min_{r \in [\sigma, 1-\sigma]} v(r) \geq \sigma a.$$

The proof of Theorem 1 is finished.

3. EXAMPLE

In this section, we present an example to illustrate our main result.

Example 1. Consider the following Dirichlet problem

$$\begin{cases} S_k(D^2u) = \lambda a(|x|) \frac{(-u)^{2p}}{1-(-u)^{3q}} & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases} \quad (7)$$

where $\Omega = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |x| < 1\}$, λ is a positive parameter, p and q are positive constants, and $a : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is a continuous function.

COROLLARY 1. *The problem (7) has at least one nontrivial radial solution for every $\lambda \in E$, where*

$$E = \begin{cases} (0, \frac{2^k n C_{n-1}^{k-1}}{ka^*}] & \text{if } 2p = k, \\ (0, +\infty) & \text{if } 2p > k, \end{cases}$$

where $a^* = \max_{r \in [0, 1]} a(r) > 0$.

Proof. The problem (7) can be regarded as a special form of (1), where

$$f(r, v) = a(r) \frac{v^{2p}}{1 - v^{3q}}.$$

Obviously, we have

$$\lim_{v \rightarrow 1^-} f(r, v) = +\infty, \quad \text{uniformly for } r \in [0, 1],$$

and

$$\limsup_{v \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{f(r, v)}{v^k} = h(r) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } 2p > k, \\ a(r) & \text{if } 2p = k, \end{cases} \quad \text{uniformly for } r \in [0, 1],$$

which imply that (2) and (5) are satisfied. Then, Theorem 1 guarantees that the results in Corollary 1 hold. □

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Zaitao Liang was supported by the Major Program of University Natural Science Research Fund of Anhui Province (No. 2022AH040112). Shengjun Li was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 11861028) and the Hainan Provincial Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 120RC450). We wish to thank Professor Jifeng Chu for his constant supervision and support.

REFERENCES

1. Z. CHENG, X. CUI, *Positive periodic solution to an indefinite singular equation*, Appl. Math. Lett., **112**, art. 106740, 2021.
2. J. CHU, H. CHEN, D. O'REGAN, *Positive periodic solutions and eigenvalue intervals for systems of second order differential equations*, Math. Nachr., **281**, pp. 1549–1556, 2008.
3. D-P. COVEI, *A necessary and a sufficient condition for the existence of the positive radial solutions to Hessian equations and systems with weights*, Acta. Math. Sci., **37**, pp. 47–57, 2017.
4. J-F. DE OLIVEIRA, J-M. DO Ó, P. UBILLA, *Existence for a k -Hessian equation involving supercritical growth*, J. Differential Equations, **267**, pp. 1001–1024, 2019.
5. C. ESCUDERO, P-J. TORRES, *Existence of radial solutions to biharmonic k -Hessian equations*, J. Differential Equations, **259**, pp. 2732–2761, 2015
6. M. FENG, *New results of coupled system of k -Hessian equations*, Appl. Math. Lett., **94**, pp. 196–203, 2019.
7. J. HE, X. ZHANG, L. LIU, Y. WU, *Existence and nonexistence of radial solutions of the Dirichlet problem for a class of general k -Hessian equations*, Nonlinear Anal. Model. Control, **23**, pp. 475–492, 2018.
8. G. INFANTE, J.R.L. WEBB, *Nonzero solutions of Hammerstein integral equations with discontinuous kernels*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **272**, pp. 30–42, 2002.
9. M-A. KRASNOSELSKII, *Positive Solutions of Operator Equations*, Noordhoff, Groningen, 1964.
10. K-Q. LAN, *Multiple positive solutions of semilinear differential equations with singularities*, J. Lond. Math. Soc., **63**, pp. 690–704, 2001.
11. F. LIAO, *Periodic solutions of Liebau-type differential equations*, Appl. Math. Lett., **69**, pp. 8–14, 2017.
12. J. SÁNCHEZ, V. VERGARA, *Bounded solutions of a k -Hessian equation in a ball*, J. Differential Equations, **261**, pp. 797–820, 2016.
13. J. SÁNCHEZ, V. VERGARA, *Bounded solutions of a k -Hessian equation involving a weighted nonlinear source*, J. Differential Equations, **263**, pp. 687–708, 2017.
14. H. WAN, Y. SHI, X. QIAO, *Entire large solutions to the k -Hessian equations with weights: Existence, uniqueness and asymptotic behavior*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **503**, art. 125301, 2021.
15. C. WANG, J. BAO, *Necessary and sufficient conditions on existence and convexity of solutions for Dirichlet problems of Hessian equations on exterior domains*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **141**, pp. 1289–1296, 2013.
16. W. WEI, *Existence and multiplicity for negative solutions of k -Hessian equations*, J. Differential Equations, **263**, pp. 615–640, 2017.
17. W. WEI, *Uniqueness theorems for negative radial solutions of k -Hessian equations in a ball*, J. Differential Equations, **261**, pp. 3756–3771, 2016.
18. X. ZHANG, *Existence and uniqueness of nontrivial radial solutions for k -Hessian equations*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **492**, art. 124439, 2020.
19. X. ZHANG, *Analysis of nontrivial radial solutions for singular superlinear k -Hessian equations*, Appl. Math. Lett., **106**, art. 106409, 2020.
20. X. ZHANG, P. XU, Y. WU, *The eigenvalue problem of a singular k -Hessian equation*, Appl. Math. Lett., **124**, art. 107666, 2022.

Received August 11, 2022