



BOUNDS OF THE THIRD AND THE FOURTH LOGARITHMIC COEFFICIENTS FOR CLOSE-TO-CONVEX FUNCTIONS

Anna FUTA¹, Magdalena JASTRZEBSKA¹, Paweł ZAPRAWA²

¹ Lublin University of Technology, Department of Applied Mathematics
Nadbystrzycka, 38, 20-618 Lublin, Poland

² Lublin University of Technology, Faculty of Mechanical Engineering
Nadbystrzycka, 36, 20-618 Lublin, Poland

Corresponding author: Magdalena JASTRZEBSKA, E-mail: m.jastrzebska@pollub.pl

Abstract. In this paper we study coefficient problems in some subclasses of close-to-convex functions. More precisely, we determine the upper bounds of the third and the fourth logarithmic coefficients, γ_3 and γ_4 , for functions in some subclasses of analytic and univalent functions in the unit disc \mathbb{D} . In our research we use not only classical results, but also recent results obtained by Efraimidis.

Key words: coefficient problems, logarithmic coefficients, univalent functions, close-to-convex functions, Schwarz functions.

Mathematics Subject Classification (MSC2020): 30C45, 30C50.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let \mathbb{D} be the unit disk $\{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$ and \mathcal{A} denotes the class of all functions f analytic in \mathbb{D} , satisfying the condition $f(0) = f'(0) - 1 = 0$. It means that function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ has the following representation

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n. \quad (1)$$

Let \mathcal{S} be the class of all functions in \mathcal{A} which are univalent in \mathbb{D} . The logarithmic coefficients of $f \in \mathcal{S}$, denoted by $\gamma_n = \gamma_n(f)$, are defined by

$$\frac{1}{2} \log \frac{f(z)}{z} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \gamma_n z^n. \quad (2)$$

The logarithmic coefficients γ_n are significant in the theory of univalent functions and play the important role in the proof of the well-known Bieberbach conjecture. The utility of the logarithmic coefficients in the context of Bieberbach conjecture was discovered by Milin [9], who conjectured that for $f \in \mathcal{S}$ and $n \geq 2$,

$$\sum_{m=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^m \left(k |\gamma_k|^2 - \frac{1}{k} \right) \leq 0.$$

In 1985, De Branges [4] proving Milin's conjecture confirmed the Bieberbach conjecture.

If f is of the form (1), then the logarithmic coefficients are given by

$$\begin{aligned}
\gamma_1 &= \frac{1}{2}a_2 \\
\gamma_2 &= \frac{1}{2}\left(a_3 - \frac{1}{2}a_2^2\right) \\
\gamma_3 &= \frac{1}{2}\left(a_4 - a_2a_3 + \frac{1}{3}a_2^3\right) \\
\gamma_4 &= \frac{1}{2}\left(a_5 - a_2a_4 + a_2^2a_3 - \frac{1}{2}a_3^2 - \frac{1}{4}a_2^4\right) \\
\gamma_5 &= \frac{1}{2}\left(a_6 - a_2a_5 - a_3a_4 + a_2a_3^2 + a_2^2a_4 - a_2^3a_3 + \frac{1}{5}a_2^5\right).
\end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

Hence, if $f \in \mathcal{S}$, it is easy to see that $|\gamma_n| \leq 1$ with equality for the Koebe function $f(z) = \frac{z}{(1-z)^2}$. For this function, it is known that $\gamma_n = \frac{1}{n}$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Since the Koebe function occurs as an extremal function for most of the extremal problems in the class \mathcal{S} , it is expected that $|\gamma_n| \leq \frac{1}{n}$ for $f \in \mathcal{S}$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Nevertheless, it is not true in general. Namely, it does not hold even for γ_2 . The Fekete-Szegő inequality leads to $|\gamma_2| \leq \frac{1}{2}(1 + 2e^{-2}) = 0.635\dots$ Also, in 2020 Obradović and Tuneski proved that $|\gamma_3| \leq \frac{\sqrt{133}}{15}$ for all $f \in \mathcal{S}$, see [10]. The problem of finding the sharp upper bounds for $|\gamma_n|$ for $f \in \mathcal{S}$ is still open for $n \geq 3$. However, if $f \in \mathcal{S}^*$, the class of starlike functions, the inequality $|\gamma_n| \leq \frac{1}{n}$ holds for $n \in \mathbb{N}$, see [12].

Taking into account selected subclasses of the class \mathcal{S} , some partial results concerning logarithmic coefficients are known. Particularly, the upper bounds of γ_n for the class of strongly starlike functions of order β ($0 < \beta \leq 1$) were obtained by Thomas in [13], that is $|\gamma_n| \leq \frac{\beta}{n}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Whereas, the results for γ -starlike functions were given by Darus and Thomas in [3]. Moreover, non-sharp estimates for the class of Bazilevič, close-to-convex and different subclasses of close-to-convex functions were examined in [6], [1] and [14], respectively.

The sharp upper estimates of $|\gamma_3|$ in subclasses \mathcal{F}_1 , \mathcal{F}_2 , \mathcal{F}_3 , \mathcal{F}_4 of the class \mathcal{S} of functions f satisfying respectively the following conditions

$$\operatorname{Re}\{(1-z)f'(z)\} > 0, \quad z \in \mathbb{D} \tag{4}$$

$$\operatorname{Re}\{(1-z^2)f'(z)\} > 0, \quad z \in \mathbb{D} \tag{5}$$

$$\operatorname{Re}\{(1-z+z^2)f'(z)\} > 0, \quad z \in \mathbb{D} \tag{6}$$

$$\operatorname{Re}\{(1-z)^2f'(z)\} > 0, \quad z \in \mathbb{D} \tag{7}$$

were investigated in [1], [8] and [2] with the additional assumptions about the coefficient a_2 . Namely, the estimates related to \mathcal{F}_k are as follows

$$|\gamma_3| \leq \frac{1}{288}(11 + 15\sqrt{30}) = 0.3234\dots \text{ for } f \in \mathcal{F}_1 \text{ and } \frac{1}{2} \leq a_2 \leq \frac{3}{2}, \text{ [8]}$$

$$|\gamma_3| \leq \frac{1}{972}(95 + 23\sqrt{46}) = 0.2582\dots \text{ for } f \in \mathcal{F}_2 \text{ and } 0 \leq a_2 \leq 1, \text{ [8]}$$

$$|\gamma_3| \leq \frac{1}{7776}(743 + 131\sqrt{262}) = 0.3682\dots \text{ for } f \in \mathcal{F}_3 \text{ and } \frac{1}{2} \leq a_2 \leq \frac{3}{2}, \text{ [8]}$$

$$|\gamma_3| \leq \frac{1}{243}(28 + 19\sqrt{19}) = 0.4560\dots \text{ for } f \in \mathcal{F}_4 \text{ and } 1 \leq a_2 \leq 2, \text{ [1]}$$

These results were generalized for all real a_2 by Cho et al. in [2].

In this paper we consider more general case, when a_2 is an arbitrarily complex number. Despite the rejection of the assumption $a_2 \in \mathbb{R}$, the derived results are only slightly worse than those obtained in [2].

It is worth noting that in Theorems 1, 2 and 3 we obtained similar results as in [2], the derived results are

slightly worse than the sharp bounds obtained in [2], differing at the level of hundredths in \mathcal{F}_4 , thousandths in \mathcal{F}_1 and \mathcal{F}_3 , and ten-thousandths in \mathcal{F}_2 but without any additional assumptions for the coefficient a_2 . Furthermore, we derive the bounds of $|\gamma_4|$ in the same subclasses of \mathcal{S} .

Note that, each class \mathcal{F}_i , $i = 1, \dots, 4$ is the subclass of the well-known class of close-to-convex functions. Since all of these classes have a representation by using the Carathéodory class \mathcal{P} , i.e., the class of functions of the form

$$p(z) = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} p_n z^n, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}, \quad (8)$$

having a positive real part in \mathbb{D} , so both γ_3 and γ_4 have a suitable representations expressed by the coefficients of functions in \mathcal{P} .

Denote by \mathcal{B}_0 the class of Schwarz functions, i.e., the class of all analytic functions $\omega : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$, $\omega(0) = 0$. A function $\omega \in \mathcal{B}_0$ can be written as a power series

$$\omega(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n z^n. \quad (9)$$

There exists a close relationship between the class \mathcal{P} and the class \mathcal{B}_0 . Namely, $p = \frac{1+\omega}{1-\omega}$ is in \mathcal{P} if and only if $\omega \in \mathcal{B}_0$. From this relation we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} p_1 &= 2c_1, \quad p_2 = 2(c_2 + c_1^2), \quad p_3 = 2(c_3 + 2c_1c_2 + c_1^3), \\ p_4 &= 2(c_4 + 2c_1c_3 + c_2^2 + 3c_1^2c_2 + c_1^4). \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

To prove our results we need the following lemmas for Schwarz functions obtained by Prokhorov and Szynal [11] and Carlson [5].

LEMMA 1. Let $\omega(z) = c_1z + c_2z^2 + \dots$ be a Schwarz function. Then

$$|c_2| \leq 1 - |c_1|^2, \quad |c_3| \leq 1 - |c_1|^2 - \frac{|c_2|^2}{1 + |c_1|}, \quad |c_4| \leq 1 - |c_1|^2 - |c_2|^2.$$

We also need the results obtained by Efraimidis (see, [7]).

LEMMA 2. Let $\omega = c_1z + c_2z^2 + \dots$ be a Schwarz function and $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$. Then

$$|c_3 + (1 + \lambda)c_1c_2 + \lambda c_1^3| \leq \max\{1, |\lambda|\} \quad (11)$$

$$|c_3 + 2\lambda c_1c_2 + \lambda^2 c_1^3| \leq \max\{1, |\lambda|^2\} \quad (12)$$

and

$$|c_4 + (1 + \lambda)c_1c_3 + c_2^2 + (1 + 2\lambda)c_1^2c_2 + \lambda c_1^4| \leq \max\{1, |\lambda|\} \quad (13)$$

$$|c_4 + 2c_1c_3 + \lambda c_2^2 + (1 + 2\lambda)c_1^2c_2 + \lambda c_1^4| \leq \max\{1, |\lambda|\}. \quad (14)$$

2. ESTIMATES FOR THE γ_3

To obtain our results we apply a different approach than those used in [1, 3, 9]. In order to estimate γ_3 we express it by coefficients of Schwarz functions.

THEOREM 1. If $f \in \mathcal{F}_1$, then

$$|\gamma_3| \leq \frac{21}{64}.$$

Proof. Let $f \in \mathcal{F}_1$ be of the form (1). Then there exists $p \in \mathcal{P}$ of the form (8) such that

$$(1 - z)f'(z) = p(z), \quad z \in \mathbb{D}. \quad (15)$$

Substituting the series (1) and (8) into (15) and equating the coefficients we get

$$\begin{aligned} a_2 &= \frac{1}{2}(1 + p_1) \\ a_3 &= \frac{1}{3}(1 + p_1 + p_2) \\ a_4 &= \frac{1}{4}(1 + p_1 + p_2 + p_3) \\ a_5 &= \frac{1}{5}(1 + p_1 + p_2 + p_3 + p_4) . \end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

By (3) and (16) we get

$$\gamma_3 = \frac{1}{48} (p_1 - p_1^2 + p_1^3 - 4p_1p_2 + 2p_2 + 6p_3 + 3) .$$

Using now (10) we have

$$\gamma_3 = \frac{1}{48} (4c_1^3 + 8c_1c_2 + 2c_1 + 4c_2 + 12c_3 + 3) .$$

Then obviously

$$|\gamma_3| \leq \frac{1}{48} (4|c_1|^3 + 8|c_1||c_2| + 2|c_1| + 4|c_2| + 12|c_3| + 3)$$

and applying Lemma 1 we get

$$|\gamma_3| \leq \frac{1}{48} \left(4|c_1|^3 + 8|c_1||c_2| + 2|c_1| + 4|c_2| + 12 \left(1 - |c_1|^2 - \frac{|c_2|^2}{1 + |c_1|} \right) + 3 \right) .$$

Let $h_1(x, y)$ denotes the right hand side of the above inequality with $x = |c_1|$ and $y = |c_2|$. Then

$$h_1(x, y) = \frac{1}{48} \left(4x^3 + 8xy + 2x + 4y + 12 \left(1 - x^2 - \frac{y^2}{1 + x} \right) + 3 \right) .$$

The shape of the region of variability of (x, y) is a simple consequence of the Schwarz-Pick Lemma. It coincides with

$$\Omega = \{(x, y) : 0 \leq x \leq 1, 0 \leq y \leq 1 - x^2\} . \tag{17}$$

The critical points of h_1 are the solutions of the system

$$\begin{cases} 12x^2 + \frac{12y^2}{(x+1)^2} - 24x + 8y + 2 = 0 \\ -\frac{24y}{x+1} + 8x + 4 = 0 . \end{cases}$$

Hence, the only critical point of h_1 in Ω is $(\frac{1}{4}, \frac{5}{16})$ and $h_1(\frac{1}{4}, \frac{5}{16}) = \frac{21}{64}$. Now, it is enough to derive the greatest value of h_1 on the boundary of Ω . We have the following

$$\begin{aligned} h_1(x, 0) &= \frac{1}{48} (4x^3 - 12x^2 + 2x + 15) \leq \frac{3}{16} + \frac{5\sqrt{5}}{36} = 0.3142\dots \\ h_1(0, y) &= \frac{1}{48} (4y + 15 - 12y^2) \leq \frac{23}{72} \\ h_1(x, 1 - x^2) &= \frac{1}{48} (-16x^3 - 4x^2 + 22x + 7) \leq \frac{278 + 67\sqrt{67}}{2592} = 0.3188\dots \end{aligned}$$

Combining all these inequalities we get

$$h_1(x, y) \leq \frac{21}{64} \quad \text{for all } (x, y) \in \Omega ,$$

which results in the desired bound. \square

THEOREM 2. If $f \in \mathcal{F}_2$, then

$$|\gamma_3| \leq 0.2587 \dots$$

Proof. Suppose that $f \in \mathcal{F}_2$ is given by (1). Then there exists $p \in \mathcal{P}$ of the form (8) such that

$$(1 - z^2)f'(z) = p(z), \quad z \in \mathbb{D}. \quad (18)$$

Applying in (18) the expansions of f and p given by (1) and (8), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} a_2 &= \frac{1}{2}p_1 \\ a_3 &= \frac{1}{3}(1 + p_2) \\ a_4 &= \frac{1}{4}(p_1 + p_3) \\ a_5 &= \frac{1}{5}(1 + p_2 + p_4). \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

Using (3) and (19) we have

$$\gamma_3 = \frac{1}{48}(p_1^3 + 2p_1 - 4p_1p_2 + 6p_3).$$

From (10) we get

$$\gamma_3 = \frac{1}{12}(c_1^3 + 2c_1c_2 + c_1 + 3c_3).$$

Hence from the triangle inequality we have

$$|\gamma_3| \leq \frac{1}{12}(|c_1|^2 + 2|c_1||c_2| + |c_1| + 3|c_3|).$$

Now, using Lemma 1 we get

$$|\gamma_3| \leq \frac{1}{12} \left(|c_1|^3 + 2|c_1||c_2| + |c_1| + 3 \left(1 - |c_1|^2 - \frac{|c_2|^2}{1 + |c_1|} \right) \right).$$

Let us denote by $h_2(x, y)$ the right hand side of the above inequality, where $x = |c_1|$ and $y = |c_2|$. Therefore,

$$h_2(x, y) = \frac{1}{12} \left(x^3 + 2xy + x + 3 - 3x^2 - \frac{3y^2}{1+x} \right).$$

From

$$\begin{cases} 3x^2 + \frac{3y^2}{(x+1)^2} - 6x + 2y + 1 = 0 \\ x - \frac{3y}{x+1} = 0 \end{cases}$$

it follows that $(0.2257 \dots, 0.0922 \dots)$ is the only critical point of h_2 in Ω . In this case $h_2(0.2257 \dots, 0.0922 \dots) = 0.2587 \dots$

To complete the proof we need to verify the behavior of the function h_2 on the boundary of Ω . We have

$$\begin{aligned} h_2(x, 0) &= \frac{1}{12}(3 + x - 3x^2 + x^3) \leq \frac{1}{54}(9 + 2\sqrt{6}) = 0.2573 \dots \\ h_2(0, y) &= \frac{1}{4}(1 - y^2) \leq \frac{1}{4} \\ h_2(x, 1 - x^2) &= \frac{1}{6}(3x - 2x^3) \leq \frac{1}{3\sqrt{2}} = 0.2357 \dots \end{aligned}$$

Taking everything into account we obtain

$$h_2(x, y) \leq 0.2587 \dots$$

This ends the proof of the theorem. □

THEOREM 3. *If $f \in \mathcal{F}_3$, then*

$$|\gamma_3| \leq \frac{71}{192}.$$

Proof. Assume that $f \in \mathcal{F}_3$ is of the form (1). Then there exists $p \in \mathcal{P}$ of the form (8) such that

$$(1 - z + z^2)f'(z) = p(z), \quad z \in \mathbb{D}. \quad (20)$$

Putting the series (1) and (8) into (20) by equating the coefficients we get

$$\begin{aligned} a_2 &= \frac{1}{2}(1 + p_1) \\ a_3 &= \frac{1}{3}(p_1 + p_2) \\ a_4 &= \frac{1}{4}(p_2 + p_3 - 1) \\ a_5 &= \frac{1}{5}(p_3 + p_4 - p_1 - 1). \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

By (3) and (21) we obtain

$$\gamma_3 = \frac{1}{48} (p_1^3 - p_1^2 - p_1 + 2p_2 - 4p_1p_2 + 6p_3 - 5).$$

Again, applying (10) we have

$$\gamma_3 = \frac{1}{48} (4c_1^3 + 8c_1c_2 - 2c_1 + 4c_2 + 12c_3 - 5).$$

The triangle inequality and Lemma 1 result in

$$\begin{aligned} |\gamma_3| &\leq \frac{1}{48} \left(4|c_1|^3 + 8|c_1||c_2| + 2|c_1| + 4|c_2| + 12 \left(1 - |c_1|^2 - \frac{|c_2|^2}{1 + |c_1|} \right) + 5 \right) \\ &= h_1(|c_1|, |c_2|) + \frac{1}{24}, \end{aligned}$$

where h_1 is defined in the proof of Theorem 1.

Therefore, from Theorem 1, the declared result follows. □

THEOREM 4. *If $f \in \mathcal{F}_4$, then*

$$|\gamma_3| \leq \frac{185}{384}.$$

Proof. Let $f \in \mathcal{F}_4$ be of the form (1). Then there exists $p \in \mathcal{P}$ of the form (8) such that

$$(1 - z)^2 f'(z) = p(z), \quad z \in \mathbb{D}. \quad (22)$$

Substituting the series (1) and (8) into (22) and equating the coefficients we get

$$\begin{aligned} a_2 &= \frac{1}{2}(p_1 + 2) \\ a_3 &= \frac{1}{3}(2p_1 + p_2 + 3) \\ a_4 &= \frac{1}{4}(3p_1 + 2p_2 + p_3 + 4) \\ a_5 &= \frac{1}{5}(4p_1 + 3p_2 + 2p_3 + p_4 + 5) . \end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

From (3) and (23) we get

$$\gamma_3 = \frac{1}{48} (p_1^3 - 2p_1^2 + 2p_1 - 4p_1p_2 + 4p_2 + 6p_3 + 8)$$

and, using (10),

$$\gamma_3 = \frac{1}{12} (c_1^3 + 2c_1c_2 + c_1 + 2c_2 + 3c_3 + 2) .$$

From (11), the triangle inequality and Lemma 1 we get

$$\begin{aligned} |\gamma_3| &\leq \frac{1}{12} |(c_3 + 2c_1c_2 + c_1^3) + 2c_3 + 2c_2 + c_1 + 2| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{12} \left(1 + 2 \left(1 - |c_1|^2 - \frac{|c_2|^2}{1 + |c_1|} \right) + 2|c_2| + |c_1| + 2 \right) . \end{aligned}$$

Denote by $h_4(x, y)$ the right hand side of the above inequality with $x = |c_1|$ and $y = |c_2|$. Then

$$h_4(x, y) = \frac{1}{12} \left(5 + x - 2x^2 + 2y - \frac{2y^2}{1+x} \right) .$$

Now we consider the system

$$\begin{cases} 1 - 4x + \frac{2y^2}{(1+x)^2} = 0 \\ 2 - \frac{4y}{1+x} = 0 . \end{cases}$$

Therefore, the only critical point of h_4 in Ω is $(\frac{3}{8}, \frac{11}{16})$ and $h_4(\frac{3}{8}, \frac{11}{16}) = \frac{185}{384}$.

On the boundary of the set Ω , we have

$$\begin{aligned} h_4(x, 0) &= \frac{1}{12} (5 + x - 2x^2) \leq \frac{41}{96} \\ h_4(0, y) &= \frac{1}{12} (5 + 2y - 2y^2) \leq \frac{11}{24} \\ h_4(x, 1 - x^2) &= \frac{1}{12} (5 + 3x - 2x^2 - 2x^3) \leq \frac{1}{324} (104 + 11\sqrt{22}) = 0.4802\dots \end{aligned}$$

Finally, we obtain

$$h_4(x, y) \leq \frac{185}{384} ,$$

which ends the proof.

□

3. ESTIMATES FOR THE γ_4

Now we will prove the results concerning the bounds of γ_4 for \mathcal{F}_k . For this purpose we will use the results obtained by Efraimidis.

THEOREM 5. *If $f \in \mathcal{F}_1$, then*

$$|\gamma_4| \leq 0.3245\dots$$

Proof. Let $f \in \mathcal{F}_1$ be of the form (1). Using (3) and (16) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_4 = & \frac{1}{5760} (-45p_1^4 + 60p_1^3 + 240p_1^2p_2 - 70p_1^2 - 200p_1p_2 - 360p_1p_3 + 76p_1 \\ & - 160p_2^2 + 136p_2 + 216p_3 + 576p_4 + 251) . \end{aligned}$$

Applying now (10) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |\gamma_4| = & \frac{1}{5760} |864c_1c_3 + 432c_3 + 512c_2^2 + 1216c_1^2c_2 + 64c_1c_2 + 272c_2 + 272c_1^4 \\ & + 112c_1^3 - 8c_1^2 + 152c_1 + 1152c_4 + 251| . \end{aligned}$$

Using the triangle inequality we have

$$|\gamma_4| \leq \frac{1}{5760} (432S_1 + 80S_2 + 32S_3 + S_4) ,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} S_1 &= |c_4 + 2c_1c_3 + c_2^2 + 3c_1^2c_2 + c_1^4| \leq 1 \text{ by (13) with } \lambda = 1 , \\ S_2 &= |c_4 + c_2^2 - c_1^2c_2 - c_1^4| \leq 1 \text{ by (13) with } \lambda = -1 , \\ S_3 &= |c_3 + 2c_1c_2 + c_1^3| \leq 1 \text{ by (12) with } \lambda = 1 , \\ S_4 &= |400c_3 + 80c_1^3 + 640c_4 - 80c_1^4 + 272c_2 - 8c_1^2 + 152c_1 + 251| . \end{aligned}$$

Applying the triangle inequality once more we have

$$|\gamma_4| \leq \frac{1}{5760} [795 + 400|c_3| + 80|c_1|^3 + 640|c_4| + 80|c_1|^4 + 272|c_2| + 8|c_1|^2 + 152|c_1|] .$$

Now, by Lemma 1, we receive

$$\begin{aligned} |\gamma_4| \leq & \frac{1}{5760} \left(640(1 - |c_1|^2 - |c_2|^2) + 400 \left(1 - |c_1|^2 - \frac{|c_2|^2}{1 + |c_1|} \right) \right. \\ & \left. + 272|c_2| + 80|c_1|^4 + 80|c_1|^3 + 8|c_1|^2 + 152|c_1| + 795 \right) \leq g_1(|c_1|, |c_2|) , \end{aligned}$$

where

$$g_1(x, y) = \frac{1}{5760} (80x^4 + 80x^3 - 1032x^2 + 152x - 640y^2 + 272y + 1835) .$$

The critical points of g_1 are the solutions of the system

$$\begin{cases} 40x^3 + 30x^2 - 258x + 19 = 0 \\ 272 - 1280y = 0 . \end{cases}$$

Taking into account the second equation we get rational solution of the form $y_0 = \frac{17}{80}$, whereas $x_0 = 0.0743\dots$ is the solution of the polynomial of the third degree. So, the value of g_1 at this point is $g_1(0.0743\dots, \frac{17}{80}) = 0.3245\dots$

Now, we need to find the greatest value of g_1 on the boundary of Ω . We have

$$\begin{aligned} g_1(x, 0) &= \frac{80x^4 + 80x^3 - 1032x^2 + 152x + 1835}{5760} \leq 0.3195 \dots \\ g_1(0, y) &= \frac{-640y^2 + 272y + 1835}{5760} \leq \frac{2071}{6400} \\ g_1(x, 1 - x^2) &= \frac{-560x^4 + 80x^3 - 24x^2 + 152x + 1467}{5760} \leq 0.2630 \dots \end{aligned}$$

Finally, we obtain

$$g_1(x, y) \leq 0.3245 \dots ,$$

which gives the desired result. \square

THEOREM 6. *If $f \in \mathcal{F}_2$, then*

$$|\gamma_4| \leq \frac{29}{100} .$$

Proof. Assume that $f \in \mathcal{F}_2$ be of the form (1). Then from (3) and (19) we obtain

$$\gamma_4 = \frac{1}{5760} (-45p_1^4 + 240p_1^2p_2 - 120p_1^2 - 360p_1p_3 - 160p_2^2 + 256p_2 + 576p_4 + 416)$$

and from (10)

$$|\gamma_4| = \frac{1}{360} |54c_1c_3 + 32c_2^2 + 76c_1^2c_2 + 32c_2 + 17c_1^4 + 2c_1^2 + 72c_4 + 26| .$$

By the triangle inequality we obtain

$$|\gamma_4| \leq \frac{1}{360} (27S_1 + 5S_2 + S_3) ,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} S_1 &= |c_4 + 2c_1c_3 + c_2^2 + 3c_1^2c_2 + c_1^4| \leq 1 \text{ by (13) with } \lambda = 1 , \\ S_2 &= |c_4 + c_2^2 - c_1^2c_2 - c_1^4| \leq 1 \text{ by (13) with } \lambda = -1 , \\ S_3 &= |40c_4 - 5c_1^4 + 32c_2 + 2c_1^2 + 26| . \end{aligned}$$

Consequently,

$$|\gamma_4| \leq \frac{1}{360} (58 + 40|c_4| + 5|c_1|^4 + 32|c_2| + 2|c_1|^2) .$$

By Lemma 1,

$$|\gamma_4| \leq \frac{1}{360} (58 + 40(1 - |c_1|^2 - |c_2|^2) + 5|c_1|^4 + 32|c_2| + 2|c_1|^2) = g_2(|c_1|, |c_2|) ,$$

where

$$g_2(x, y) = \frac{1}{360} (98 - 38x^2 - 40y^2 + 5x^4 + 32y) .$$

It is easy to check that there are no critical points inside the set Ω . Now, it is enough to examine the behavior

of g_2 on the boundary of Ω . Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} g_2(x, 0) &= \frac{1}{360}(98 - 38x^2 + 5x^4) \leq \frac{49}{180} \\ g_2(0, y) &= \frac{1}{360}(98 - 40y^2 + 32y) \leq \frac{29}{100} \\ g_2(x, 1 - x^2) &= \frac{1}{72}(18 + 2x^2 - 7x^4) \leq \frac{127}{504}. \end{aligned}$$

Taking into account all these inequalities we have

$$g_2(x, y) \leq \frac{29}{100},$$

which ends the proof of the theorem. □

THEOREM 7. *If $f \in \mathcal{F}_3$, then*

$$|\gamma_4| \leq 0.3287\dots$$

Proof. Let $f \in \mathcal{F}_3$ be of the form (1). Using (3) and (21) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_4 &= \frac{1}{5760} (576p_4 + 216p_3 - 360p_1p_3 - 160p_2^2 + 240p_1^2p_2 - 200p_1p_2 - 120p_2 \\ &\quad - 45p_1^4 + 60p_1^3 + 50p_1^2 - 156p_1 - 261). \end{aligned}$$

By (10),

$$\begin{aligned} |\gamma_4| &= \frac{1}{5760} |864c_1c_3 + 432c_3 + 512c_2^2 + 1216c_1^2c_2 + 64c_1c_2 - 240c_2 \\ &\quad + 272c_1^4 + 112c_1^3 - 40c_1^2 - 312c_1 + 1152c_4 - 261|. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$|\gamma_4| \leq \frac{1}{5760} (432S_1 + 80S_2 + S_3)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} S_1 &= |c_4 + 2c_1c_3 + c_2^2 + 3c_1^2c_2 + c_1^4| \leq 1 \text{ by (13) with } \lambda = 1, \\ S_2 &= |c_4 + c_2^2 - c_1^2c_2 - c_1^4| \leq 1 \text{ by (13) with } \lambda = -1, \\ S_3 &= |640c_4 - 80c_1^4 + 432c_3 + 64c_1c_2 - 240c_2 + 112c_1^3 - 40c_1^2 - 312c_1 + 261|. \end{aligned}$$

The triangle inequality and Lemma 1 results in

$$\begin{aligned} |\gamma_4| &\leq \frac{1}{5760} (773 + 640(1 - |c_1|^2 - |c_2|^2) + 80|c_1|^4 + 432(1 - |c_1|^2) \\ &\quad + 64|c_1||c_2| + 240|c_2| + 112|c_1|^3 + 40|c_1|^2 + 312|c_1|) = g_3(|c_1|, |c_2|), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$g_3(x, y) = \frac{1}{5760} (80x^4 + 112x^3 - 1032x^2 + 64xy + 312x - 640y^2 + 240y + 1845).$$

The critical points of g_3 inside the set Ω coincide with the solution of the system of equations

$$\begin{cases} 39 - 258x + 42x^2 + 40x^3 + 8y = 0 \\ 15 + 4x - 80y = 0. \end{cases}$$

There is only one critical point $(0.1621\dots, 0.1956\dots)$ and $g_3(0.1621\dots, 0.1956\dots) = 0.3287\dots$. On the boundary of Ω ,

$$\begin{aligned} g_3(x, 0) &= \frac{1}{5760}(1845 + 312x - 1032x^2 + 112x^3 + 80x^4) \leq 0.3244\dots \\ g_3(0, y) &= \frac{1}{5760}(1845 + 240y - 640y^2) \leq \frac{83}{256} \\ g_3(x, 1 - x^2) &= \frac{1}{5760}(1445 + 376x + 8x^2 + 48x^3 - 560x^4) \leq 0.2798\dots \end{aligned}$$

Finally, considering all the inequalities, we obtain

$$g_3(x, y) \leq 0.3287\dots,$$

which is the desired result. □

THEOREM 8. *If $f \in \mathcal{F}_4$, then*

$$|\gamma_4| \leq 0.5027\dots$$

Proof. Let $f \in \mathcal{F}_4$ be of the form (1). Therefore, using (3) and (23), we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\gamma_4| &= \frac{1}{5760} (576p_4 + 432p_3 - 360p_1p_3 - 160p_2^2 + 240p_1^2p_2 - 400p_1p_2 + 288p_2 \\ &\quad - 45p_1^4 + 120p_1^3 - 160p_1^2 + 144p_1 + 720) . \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to conclude from (10) that

$$\begin{aligned} |\gamma_4| &= \frac{1}{360} |54c_1c_3 + 54c_3 + 32c_2^2 + 76c_1^2c_2 + 8c_1c_2 + 36c_2 + 17c_1^4 + 14c_1^3 - 4c_1^2 \\ &\quad + 18c_1 + 72c_4 + 45| . \end{aligned}$$

Using the triangle inequality, we obtain

$$|\gamma_4| \leq \frac{1}{360} (27S_1 + 5S_2 + S_3)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} S_1 &= |c_4 + 2c_1c_3 + c_2^2 + 3c_1^2c_2 + c_1^4| \leq 1 \text{ by (13) with } \lambda = 1 , \\ S_2 &= |c_4 + c_2^2 - c_1^2c_2 - c_1^4| \leq 1 \text{ by (13) with } \lambda = -1 , \\ S_3 &= |40c_4 - 5c_1^4 + 54c_3 + 8c_1c_2 + 36c_2 + 14c_1^3 - 4c_1^2 + 18c_1 + 45| . \end{aligned}$$

By the triangle inequality and Lemma 1,

$$\begin{aligned} |\gamma_4| &\leq \frac{1}{360} \left(77 + 40(1 - |c_1|^2 - |c_2|^2) + 5|c_1|^4 + 54 \left(1 - |c_1|^2 - \frac{|c_2|^2}{1 + |c_1|} \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + 8|c_1||c_2| + 36|c_2| + 14|c_1|^3 + 4|c_1|^2 + 18|c_1| \right) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{360} \left(171 + 18|c_1| - 90|c_1|^2 + 14|c_1|^3 + 5|c_1|^4 + 36|c_2| - 40|c_2|^2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. + 8|c_1|(1 - |c_1|^2) \right) = g_4(|c_1|, |c_2|) , \end{aligned}$$

where

$$g_4(x, y) = \frac{1}{360} (171 + 26x - 90x^2 + 6x^3 + 5x^4 + 36y - 40y^2) .$$

We can observe that $(0.1469\dots, \frac{9}{20})$ is the only critical point of g_4 inside the set Ω . Therefore, $g_4(0.1469\dots, \frac{9}{20}) = 0.5027\dots$

Moreover,

$$g_4(x, 0) = \frac{1}{360}(171 + 26x - 90x^2 + 6x^3 + 5x^4) \leq 0.4802\dots$$

$$g_4(0, y) = \frac{1}{360}(171 + 36y - 40y^2) \leq \frac{199}{400}$$

$$g_4(x, 1 - x^2) = \frac{1}{360}(167 + 26x - 46x^2 + 6x^3 - 35x^4) \leq 0.4738\dots$$

This means that

$$g_4(x, y) \leq 0.5027\dots$$

In this way we have proved the theorem. □

REFERENCES

1. M.F. ALI, A. VASUDEVARAO, *On logarithmic coefficients of some close-to-convex functions*, Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society, **146**, pp. 1131–1142, 2018.
2. N.E. CHO, B. KOWALCZYK, O.S. KWON, A. LECKO, Y.J. SIM, *On the third logarithmic coefficient in some subclasses of close-to-convex functions*, Revista de la Real Academia de Ciencias Exactas, **114**, 52, 2020.
3. M. DARUS, D.K. THOMAS, *α -logarithmically convex functions*, Indian Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics, **29**, 10, pp. 1049–1059, 1998.
4. L. DE BRANGES, *A proof of the Bieberbach conjecture*, Acta Mathematica, **154**, 1–2, pp. 137–152, 1985.
5. F. CARLSON, *Sur les coefficients d'une fonction bornée dans le cercle unité*, Arkiv för matematik, astronomi och fysik, **27A**, 1, pp. 8, 1940.
6. Q. DENG, *On the logarithmic coefficients of Bazilevič functions*, Applied Mathematics and Computation, **217**, 12, pp. 5889–5894, 2011.
7. I. EFRAIMIDIS, *A generalization of Livingston's coefficient inequalities for functions with positive real part*, Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications, **435**, pp. 369–379, 2016.
8. U.P. KUMAR, A. VASUDEVARAO, *Logarithmic coefficients for certain subclasses of close-to-convex functions*, Monatshefte für Mathematik, **187**, pp. 543–563, 2018.
9. I.M. MILIN, *Univalent functions and orthonormal systems* (in Russian), Izdat. "Nauka", Moscow, 1971; English translation: American Mathematical Society, Providence, 1977.
10. M. OBRADOVIĆ, N. TUNESKI, *The third logarithmic coefficient for the class S* , Turkish Journal of Mathematics, **44**, pp. 1950–1954, 2020.
11. D.V. PROKHOROV, J. SZYNAL, *Inverse coefficients for (α, β) -convex functions*, Annales Universitatis Mariae Curie-Skłodowska, sectio A, **35**, pp. 125–143, 1981.
12. D.K. THOMAS, N. TUNESKI, A. VASUDEVARAO, *Univalent functions: a primer*, Walter de Gruyter GmbH & Co KG, Berlin, 2018.
13. D.K. THOMAS, *On the coefficients of strongly starlike functions*, Indian Journal of Mathematics, **58**, 2, pp. 135–146, 2016.
14. D.K. THOMAS, *The logarithmic coefficients of close-to convex functions*, Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society, **144**, 2, pp. 1681–1687, 2016.

Received January 23, 2023